00:00:16,360 --> 00:00:17,830
to welcome everyone to today's
4
00:00:18,160 --> 00:00:19,749
Program Evaluation Forum.
5
00:00:20,860 --> 00:00:21,860
Do we have the slide?
6
00:00:24,800 --> 00:00:25,800
0k, great!
7
00:00:26,230 --> 00:00:27,249
While we're just waiting for the
8
00:00:27,250 --> 00:00:28,377
slides to come up, I'll
9
00:00:29,170 --> 00:00:30,579
just introduce myself.
10
00:00:31,090 --> 00:00:33,399
I hope that our attendees
11
00:00:33,400 --> 00:00:35,439
today can see our three
12
00:00:35,440 --> 00:00:36,440
facilitators.
13
00:00:36,760 --> 00:00:38,080

I'm Dr. Anna Oswald.
14
00:00:38,110 --> 00:00:39,459
I'm a rheumatologist at the
15
00:00:39,460 --> 00:00:41,319
University of Alberta in Edmonton,
16
00:00:41,320 --> 00:00:42,159
Alberta.
17
00:00:42,160 --> 00:00:43,719
And I'm a clinician educator at the
18
00:00:43,720 --> 00:00:44,720
Royal College.
19
00:00:45,520 --> 00:00:46,520
I'm the
20
00:00:47,380 --> 00:00:49,420
school lead for CBME
21
00:00:49,480 --> 00:00:50,889
implementation at the University of
22
00:00:50,890 --> 00:00:52,599
Alberta, and I'm on the program
23
00:00:52,600 --> 00:00:54,266
evaluation operations committee at
24
00:00:54,340 --> 00:00:55,340
the Royal College.
25
00:00:55,540 --> 00:00:57,249
And it's my great pleasure this

```
26
00:00:57,250 --> 00:00:59,079
morning to introduce the rest
27
00:00:59,080 --> 00:01:00,429
of our team. And then I'll introduce
28
00:01:00,430 --> 00:01:01,269
our webinar.
29
00:01:01,270 --> 00:01:02,270
So over to
30
00:01:03,400 --> 00:01:04,919
you Andrew. I'm Andrew Hall and
31
00:01:05,218 --> 00:01:06,579
I'm an emergency doctor at Queen's
32
00:01:06,580 --> 00:01:07,569
University.
3 3
00:01:07,570 --> 00:01:08,979
Where I also work as our emergency
34
00:01:08,980 --> 00:01:10,213
medicine competence based education
35
00:01:10,214 --> 00:01:12,219
lead. Also work at the Royal
36
00:01:12,220 --> 00:01:13,220
College as the clinician educator
where
37
00:01:15,760 --> 00:01:18,200
I chair the program evaluation
38
```

```
00:01:18,580 --> 00:01:19,903
operations team essentially
3 9
00:01:20,410 --> 00:01:22,479
studying, engaging
4 0
00:01:22,480 --> 00:01:23,829
in evaluation related to the
4 1
00:01:24,010 --> 00:01:25,439
Competence by Design implementation.
4 2
00:01:25,780 --> 00:01:27,939
I'm really excited to have a great
4 3
00:01:28,180 --> 00:01:28,959
session today.
4 4
00:01:28,960 --> 00:01:30,579
And Alex and I are all
4 5
00:01:31,720 --> 00:01:32,720
excited to have all of you here.
4 6
00:01:35,130 --> 00:01:36,796
Hi, I'm Alexandra Skutovich, I'm a
4 7
00:01:36,800 --> 00:01:37,939
research coordinator at the Royal
4 8
00:01:37,940 --> 00:01:39,480
College and a lot of my work entails
4 9
00:01:40,040 --> 00:01:41,439
the CBD program evaluation.
5 0
00:01:41,480 --> 00:01:42,976
I'm really excited that everyone
```

51
00:01:42,980 --> 00:01:44,109
decided to join us today.
52
00:01:45,450 --> 00:01:47,219
Yes, and Alex, as our wizard behind
53
00:01:47,220 --> 00:01:49,109
the screen, she's helping us make
54
00:01:49,110 --> 00:01:50,059
this work.
55
00:01:50,060 --> 00:01:52,079
I want to introduce everyone to
56
00:01:52,080 --> 00:01:54,338 what is this CBME Program Evaluation

57
00:01:54,390 --> 00:01:55,390
Forum?
58
00:01:55,980 --> 00:01:57,959
Actually, I would say for over
59
00:01:57,960 --> 00:01:59,319
a year, probably more.
60
00:01:59,340 --> 00:02:00,629
We've been discussing how we could
61
00:02:00,630 --> 00:02:02,296
get a program evaluation community
62
00:02:02,640 --> 00:02:04,560 together in a meaningful way.

63

00:02:04,580 --> 00:02:06,295
And many of you may have been aware
64
00:02:06,480 --> 00:02:08,249
that there was a summit at the
65
00:02:08,340 --> 00:02:10,469
ICRE meeting in the fall of 2019
66
00:02:11,520 --> 00:02:12,745
that many people attended
67
00:02:13,800 --> 00:02:15,990
and provided really a great catalyst
68
00:02:16,020 --> 00:02:17,790 for discussion and collaboration.

69
00:02:17,850 --> 00:02:19,614
And our only sadness around that was
70
00:02:19,830 --> 00:02:21,110
that we couldn't continue this
71
00:02:21,480 --> 00:02:22,705
through the year. So Alex
72
00:02:23,550 --> 00:02:24,479
and Andrew and I have been
73
00:02:24,480 --> 00:02:25,949
brainstorming about ways we could
74
00:02:25,950 --> 00:02:27,029
bring people together.
75
00:02:27,480 --> 00:02:29,009
And this is a bit of an experiment

76
00:02:29,010 --> 00:02:30,627
today. So we ask you to bear with
77
00:02:30,750 --> 00:02:31,750
us.
78
00:02:31,890 --> 00:02:33,629
We're going to try and
79
00:02:34,290 --> 00:02:35,711
create some opportunities for
80
00:02:35,880 --> 00:02:37,206
sharing of program evaluation work,
81
00:02:38,370 --> 00:02:39,938
for discussion and questions and
82
00:02:40,290 --> 00:02:42,054
collaboration and figuring out where
83
00:02:42,180 --> 00:02:43,180
we can go next.
84
00:02:43,960 --> 00:02:44,960
Today's
85
00:02:45,960 --> 00:02:47,759
Program Evaluation Forum is focused
86
00:02:47,760 --> 00:02:49,199
on competence committee program
87
00:02:49,200 --> 00:02:50,817
evaluation work, and we have some
88

```
00:02:51,150 --> 00:02:52,889
other topics scheduled that will
89
00:02:52,890 --> 00:02:54,119
mentioned toward the end of the
90
00:02:54,120 --> 00:02:55,120
webinar.
91
00:02:56,400 --> 00:02:57,479
I think as we've written on the
92
00:02:57,480 --> 00:02:59,099
slides here, program evaluation is
93
00:02:59,100 --> 00:03:00,766
happening across many sites across
94
00:03:00,870 --> 00:03:01,870
the country.
95
00:03:01,920 --> 00:03:03,239
And we really just want to create
96
00:03:03,240 --> 00:03:04,240
this discussionopportunity,
97
00:03:05,160 --> 00:03:06,660
collaboration opportunity,
```

98
00:03:07,650 --> 00:03:09,419
and maybe we can help to
99
00:03:09,750 --> 00:03:11,220
promote some opportunities for
100
00:03:11,490 --> 00:03:13,499
schools to work together in national

101
00:03:14,100 --> 00:03:15,100
level initiative.
102
00:03:15,319 --> 00:03:16,319
Andrew!
103
00:03:22,170 --> 00:03:23,444
So just a little bit about
104
00:03:24,150 --> 00:03:25,499
kind of our approach to today.
105
00:03:26,080 --> 00:03:27,509
We hope that everyone who is on the
106
00:03:27,510 --> 00:03:29,419
on the webinar would engage
107
00:03:29,420 --> 00:03:30,539
in really respectful and
108
00:03:30,540 --> 00:03:31,680
collaborative approach to things.
109
00:03:32,160 --> 00:03:32,939
We're trying to encourage the
110
00:03:32,940 --> 00:03:34,361
sharing of ideas, early draft
111
00:03:34,830 --> 00:03:36,104
work, all kinds of options
112
00:03:37,020 --> 00:03:38,369
here, and we hope that everyone will
113

```
00:03:38,370 --> 00:03:39,791
be respectful of each other's
114
00:03:40,020 --> 00:03:41,669
academic intellectual property.
115
00:03:42,190 --> 00:03:43,889
If you hear a project or an idea,
116
00:03:43,950 --> 00:03:45,239
particularly during the discussion
117
00:03:45,240 --> 00:03:46,514
segment, after we hear our
118
00:03:46,740 --> 00:03:48,599
presenters tell us about
1 1 9
00:03:48,600 --> 00:03:49,600
their work.
120
00:03:50,430 --> 00:03:52,079
I would encourage everyone to reach
121
00:03:52,080 --> 00:03:53,369
out to that person if there's an
122
00:03:53,370 --> 00:03:54,359
interest in collaborating or
123
00:03:54,360 --> 00:03:55,360
learning more about it.
124
00:03:55,560 --> 00:03:57,209
Beyond the conversation that we have
125
00:03:57,210 --> 00:03:59,219
today, we've
```

```
126
00:03:59,220 --> 00:04:00,445
asked from individuals we
127
00:04:01,080 --> 00:04:02,189
don't want to automatically share
128
00:04:02,190 --> 00:04:04,139
everyone's emails. We've set up
129
00:04:04,140 --> 00:04:05,340
a system whereby people can
130
00:04:06,270 --> 00:04:08,189
place their emails in a in a...
131
00:04:08,340 --> 00:04:09,719
I forget what actually is a forum
1 3 2
00:04:09,720 --> 00:04:11,339
for the emails, could Alex clarify
133
00:04:11,340 --> 00:04:12,669
where the emails are all going.
134
00:04:13,700 --> 00:04:15,449
So it's on SurveyGizmo and then
135
00:04:15,450 --> 00:04:17,369
we'll download an Excel sheet that
136
00:04:17,370 --> 00:04:18,399
has emails on it.
137
00:04:19,290 --> 00:04:20,518
So I'd encourage all of you if
1 3 8
```

```
00:04:20,519 --> 00:04:21,829
you're willing to share e-mail, to
139
00:04:21,950 --> 00:04:23,309
pop on to that. And thereis a QR
140
00:04:23,310 --> 00:04:25,074
code there on this screen, which can
141
00:04:25,200 --> 00:04:26,200
lead you to, that SurveyGizmo.
142
00:04:26,700 --> 00:04:28,220
And it was also in an email sent out
143
00:04:28,920 --> 00:04:30,252
so I encouraging you to put your
144
00:04:30,253 --> 00:04:31,829
email there to allow people to reach
145
00:04:31,830 --> 00:04:32,830
out to each other.
146
00:04:36,930 --> 00:04:38,500
So just before we begin, I
147
00:04:38,880 --> 00:04:39,929
will discuss some of the logistics
148
00:04:39,930 --> 00:04:41,709
of how that question period, and
149
00:04:41,760 --> 00:04:42,779
the discussion will work.
150
00:04:43,170 --> 00:04:44,399
So we're going to hear three great
```

151
00:04:44,400 --> 00:04:45,989
presentations and then we'll have
152
00:04:45,990 --> 00:04:47,362
time for questions after the
153
00:04:47,670 --> 00:04:48,670 presentation.

154
00:04:48,960 --> 00:04:50,579
During this question period, we ask
155
00:04:50,580 --> 00:04:52,140
that you use the raised hand
156
00:04:52,220 --> 00:04:53,489
function if you want to ask a
157
00:04:53,490 --> 00:04:55,086 question, and that point we

158
00:04:55,350 --> 00:04:57,300
will call on you and unmute your
159
00:04:57,310 --> 00:04:58,800
line so you can ask the question.
160
00:04:58,890 --> 00:05:00,389
This is just to minimize background
161
00:05:00,390 --> 00:05:02,007
noise and tension that we can get
162
00:05:02,010 --> 00:05:03,059
through as many questions as
163

```
00:05:03,060 --> 00:05:04,060
possible.
164
00:05:04,170 --> 00:05:05,369
We also encourage you to put your
165
00:05:05,370 --> 00:05:06,779
questions in the question box.
166
00:05:07,170 --> 00:05:08,493
And if we don't get to your
167
00:05:08,520 --> 00:05:09,719
question, we'll do our best to
168
00:05:09,720 --> 00:05:11,470
answer it after and circulate the
169
00:05:11,560 --> 00:05:12,560
answers around by email.
170
00:05:13,320 --> 00:05:15,328
After the question session,
171
00:05:15,510 --> 00:05:17,040
we're going to move to a discussion,
172
00:05:17,160 --> 00:05:18,449
at this point, we will allow
173
00:05:18,450 --> 00:05:20,440
everyone to unmute themselves.
174
00:05:21,020 --> 00:05:22,139
So if you would like to join the
175
00:05:22,140 --> 00:05:23,365
discussion, you just have
```

176
00:05:23,970 --> 00:05:25,469
to present unmute which is at the
177
00:05:25,470 --> 00:05:27,119
top of your screen, There's a little
178
00:05:27,120 --> 00:05:28,786
phone or a computer audio, and you
179
00:05:28,800 --> 00:05:30,368
can unmute yourself and join the
180
00:05:30,570 --> 00:05:31,559 discussion.

181
00:05:31,560 --> 00:05:33,239
We do ask you to minimize background
182
00:05:33,240 --> 00:05:34,281
noise that after you speak, pleas
183
00:05:34,282 --> 00:05:36,199
mute your line again,
184
00:05:36,210 --> 00:05:37,410
just so we don't hear anything else
185
00:05:37,470 --> 00:05:38,670
that's going on, because there is
186
00:05:38,790 --> 00:05:40,570
quite a lot of participants on the
187
00:05:40,580 --> 00:05:41,580 line.

188

```
00:05:42,060 --> 00:05:43,677
And then we will be recording the
1 8 9
00:05:43,740 --> 00:05:44,579
presentation.
190
00:05:44,580 --> 00:05:45,659
But we won't be recording the
191
00:05:45,660 --> 00:05:46,619
discussion.
192
00:05:46,620 --> 00:05:48,029
We will, however, take notes and
1 9 3
00:05:48,030 --> 00:05:49,170
make those available after.
194
00:05:56,690 --> 00:05:57,690
OK.
195
00:05:58,800 --> 00:06:00,689
So, Alex, I don't know if
196
00:06:00,690 --> 00:06:02,017
you can move to the next slide.
197
00:06:06,720 --> 00:06:07,699
Great. OK.
198
00:06:07,700 --> 00:06:08,925
So it's my great pleasure
199
00:06:09,720 --> 00:06:11,639
to introduce our three speakers
200
00:06:11,640 --> 00:06:12,640
today.
```


## 201

00:06:13,980 --> 00:06:15,107
Andrew, Alex and I were
202
00:06:15,510 --> 00:06:17,519
brainstorming about how to
203
00:06:18,270 --> 00:06:19,270
launch this Program Evaluation
204
00:06:19,650 --> 00:06:21,329
Forum, and we thought we would try
205
00:06:21,690 --> 00:06:23,519
and approach people
206
00:06:23,520 --> 00:06:24,929
in our community who we knew were
207
00:06:24,930 --> 00:06:26,459
doing program evaluation work
208
00:06:26,820 --> 00:06:28,559
and had work at different stages of
209
00:06:28,560 --> 00:06:29,560
completion.
210
00:06:29,730 --> 00:06:31,516
And so it my delight today to be
211
00:06:32,160 --> 00:06:33,339
introducing Ms.
212
00:06:33,510 --> 00:06:35,393
Anita Acai, Dr. Rachel Pack
213

```
00:06:35,940 --> 00:06:37,079
and Dr. Karen Hauer.
214
00:06:37,860 --> 00:06:39,269
And we will be starting with Ms.
215
00:06:39,270 --> 00:06:40,270
Anita Acai.
216
00:06:43,750 --> 00:06:45,073
And as listed, Anita, thank
217
00:06:45,580 --> 00:06:47,369
you, Anita is now on our Web cam,
2 1 8
00:06:47,380 --> 00:06:48,789
you can see her, she's a page the
2 1 9
00:06:48,790 --> 00:06:49,819
candidate at McMaster
220
00:06:50,980 --> 00:06:51,980
University.
221
00:06:54,180 --> 00:06:55,839
And Alex, I'll ask you to get this
222
00:06:55,860 --> 00:06:56,860
slide if you could.
223
00:06:58,820 --> 00:07:00,290
Oh, sorry, I guess I'll introduce
224
00:07:00,590 --> 00:07:01,590
each of our presenters.
225
00:07:02,390 --> 00:07:03,390
Rachel,
```

```
226
00:07:04,460 --> 00:07:05,809
if you would like to just join us in
227
00:07:05,810 --> 00:07:07,579
a moment, I'll introduce you so
228
00:07:07,580 --> 00:07:09,350
people can see your face as well.
229
00:07:11,900 --> 00:07:14,179
This is Dr. Rachel Pack and
230
00:07:14,660 --> 00:07:16,039
Karen, if you could join us for a
2 3 1
00:07:16,040 --> 00:07:17,480
moment. We'll just introduce you.
232
00:07:23,070 --> 00:07:24,344
Welcome Karen, Karen Hauer
2 3 3
00:07:24,910 --> 00:07:26,769
is from University of California in
234
00:07:26,770 --> 00:07:27,770
San Francisco.
235
00:07:28,280 --> 00:07:29,848
OK, so Alex, if you can progress
236
00:07:30,430 --> 00:07:32,150
us to the next slide for Anita's
237
00:07:32,200 --> 00:07:33,200
presentation please?
2 3 8
```

```
00:07:33,670 --> 00:07:35,287
And then I'll just jump in now to
239
00:07:35,290 --> 00:07:36,729
Anita to let you know that you do
240
00:07:36,730 --> 00:07:38,019
have control of the slides so you
241
00:07:38,020 --> 00:07:39,020
can change them.
242
00:07:40,210 --> 00:07:41,910
And now, Alex, I don't see the sides
243
00:07:41,920 --> 00:07:42,920
at the current time.
244
00:07:42,980 --> 00:07:44,659
I want to just make sure that other
245
00:07:44,660 --> 00:07:45,660
people can.
246
00:07:47,150 --> 00:07:48,150
No.
247
00:07:51,090 --> 00:07:52,470
Oh, we're just putting them back up
248
00:07:52,620 --> 00:07:54,180
to a little little disconnection
249
00:07:54,340 --> 00:07:55,289
there.
250
00:07:55,290 --> 00:07:56,290
There they are.
```

```
251
00:07:56,560 --> 00:07:57,519
Perfect!
252
00:07:57,520 --> 00:07:58,647
OK, so, Anita, we'll be
2 5 3
00:07:59,350 --> 00:08:01,029
speaking to us about many programs,
254
00:08:01,030 --> 00:08:02,259
many ways evaluating the
255
00:08:02,260 --> 00:08:03,579
implementation of competence
256
00:08:03,580 --> 00:08:05,079
committees at a Canadian university.
257
00:08:05,490 --> 00:08:06,490
Anita take it away.
258
00:08:06,760 --> 00:08:08,379
And you you have ten minutes and we
259
00:08:08,380 --> 00:08:10,119
will give you one minute warning by
260
00:08:10,120 --> 00:08:11,120
chat.
261
00:08:12,010 --> 00:08:13,010
I'm good, thank you.
262
00:08:13,660 --> 00:08:14,660
Yes. So my name is Anita Acai.
2 6 3
```

```
00:08:15,140 --> 00:08:17,269
And I'm happy to present on behalf
264
00:08:17,270 --> 00:08:19,699
of my team over here at McMaster,
265
00:08:19,700 --> 00:08:21,331
which includes Dr. Karen Saperson.
266
00:08:22,610 --> 00:08:24,529
Dr. Moyez Ladhani and Sharon
267
00:08:24,530 --> 00:08:26,750
Cameron, who are all part of the
268
00:08:27,050 --> 00:08:28,429
Postgraduate Medical Education
269
00:08:28,430 --> 00:08:29,430
Office.
270
00:08:32,890 --> 00:08:34,311
The slide isn't advancing for
271
00:08:34,321 --> 00:08:35,321
me.Thank
272
00:08:40,490 --> 00:08:42,445
you. And the next slide?
273
00:08:46,090 --> 00:08:47,854
So I'm sure that most people who are
274
00:08:47,890 --> 00:08:49,839
here are already quite invested in
2 7 5
00:08:50,200 --> 00:08:52,109
evaluating competence committees,
```

```
276
00:08:52,150 --> 00:08:54,129
but basically I just
277
00:08:54,130 --> 00:08:55,989
wanted to kind of go over a little
278
00:08:55,990 --> 00:08:57,669
bit about why I think it's important
279
00:08:57,670 --> 00:08:58,670
to study them.
280
00:08:58,750 --> 00:09:00,580
So we know that competence
281
00:09:00,970 --> 00:09:02,650
committees are mandated now by the
282
00:09:02,920 --> 00:09:04,239
Roya College to be implemented
283
00:09:04,240 --> 00:09:05,240
across Canada.
284
00:09:05,870 --> 00:09:07,839
And the next bullet
285
00:09:07,840 --> 00:09:08,840
point, please?
286
00:09:09,980 --> 00:09:11,919
They make really important decisions
287
00:09:12,220 --> 00:09:13,586
regarding residents progression
```

00:09:14,200 --> 00:09:15,200
through their program.
289
00:09:15,790 --> 00:09:17,379
And so the decisions that they make
290
00:09:17,380 --> 00:09:19,046
have really important implications
291
00:09:19,300 --> 00:09:21,309
for not only residents, but also
292
00:09:22,060 --> 00:09:23,775
society and our educational systems
2 9 3
00:09:24,010 --> 00:09:25,010
more broadly.
294
00:09:25,160 --> 00:09:26,777
Yet we don't know very much about
295
00:09:26,830 --> 00:09:28,539
how they actually work.
296
00:09:28,930 --> 00:09:30,189
And so I do think it is very
297
00:09:30,190 --> 00:09:31,930
important to be able to evaluate
298
00:09:32,140 --> 00:09:33,629
what is happening in terms of the
299
00:09:33,630 --> 00:09:35,169
implementation across Canada,
300
00:09:35,200 --> 00:09:36,729
because we just don't have very much

```

301
00:09:36,730 --> 00:09:37,779
data on it right now.
302
00:09:37,990 --> 00:09:39,479
So we don't really know where we can
303
00:09:39,480 --> 00:09:41,049
be improving or learning.
304
00:09:42,280 --> 00:09:43,799
So that's sort of the rationale
305
00:09:44,350 --> 00:09:45,350
for it.
306
00:09:47,010 --> 00:09:48,529
It is my function working to be
307
00:09:48,850 --> 00:09:50,109
able to advance it or is someone
308
00:09:50,110 --> 00:09:51,110
else doing it?
309
00:09:51,790 --> 00:09:53,095
You should have function now, there
310
00:09:53,096 --> 00:09:54,279
was a bit of a lag, but it should be
311
00:09:54,280 --> 00:09:55,413
there now. Hopefully sounds good.
312
00:09:56,020 --> 00:09:57,020
Thank you.
313
```

00:09:58,070 --> 00:09:59,029
OK, perfect.
314
00:09:59,030 --> 00:10:01,190
So our objective at McMaster was,
315
00:10:01,460 --> 00:10:02,809
we really just wanted to get a lay
316
00:10:02,810 --> 00:10:04,489
of the land, so to speak, about
317
00:10:05,840 --> 00:10:07,279
what was happening in terms of
318
00:10:07,280 --> 00:10:08,719
competence committee implementation
319
00:10:08,720 --> 00:10:09,739
at our institution.
320
00:10:10,220 --> 00:10:11,789
And we were hoping to share some of
321
00:10:11,790 --> 00:10:13,505
this work with you because, I think
322
00:10:13,700 --> 00:10:15,649
that there may be some commonalities
323
00:10:15,710 --> 00:10:17,089
between different institutions
324
00:10:17,090 --> 00:10:19,090
around and some of these questions
325
00:10:19,100 --> 00:10:20,449
that we can start to talk about more

```

326
00:10:20,450 --> 00:10:21,450
collaboratively.
327
00:10:22,100 --> 00:10:23,509
So our objective was to survey
328
00:10:23,510 --> 00:10:25,549
postgraduate training programs about
329
00:10:25,550 --> 00:10:26,750
whether or not they've implemented
330
00:10:26,760 --> 00:10:28,820
competence committees and if so,
331
00:10:29,630 --> 00:10:31,247
what specific practices they were
332
00:10:31,340 --> 00:10:32,698
engaging in around implimentation.
333
00:10:36,210 --> 00:10:37,789
So we did this primarily using
334
00:10:38,050 --> 00:10:39,471
a survey based design, so our
335
00:10:39,950 --> 00:10:42,019
group at postgraduate medical
336
00:10:42,020 --> 00:10:44,030 education, which included both

337
00:10:44,060 --> 00:10:45,499 education scientists but also

338
```

00:10:45,500 --> 00:10:47,389
clinicians, designed the thirty
339
00:10:47,390 --> 00:10:49,370
five question survey to
340
00:10:50,090 --> 00:10:51,658
look at implementation practices
341
00:10:51,980 --> 00:10:53,240
across McMaster.
342
00:10:53,840 --> 00:10:55,099
And this was sent to all of the
343
00:10:55,100 --> 00:10:56,569
competence committee chairs that our
344
00:10:56,570 --> 00:10:57,880
institution in early 2019.
345
00:10:59,930 --> 00:11:01,609
And we analyzed the responses, which
346
00:11:01,610 --> 00:11:03,709
included both responses to multiple
347
00:11:03,710 --> 00:11:05,509
choice questions, but also
348
00:11:05,720 --> 00:11:07,849
open ended questions using
349
00:11:07,850 --> 00:11:09,559
both descriptive statistics and then
350
00:11:09,560 --> 00:11:10,981
also some qualitative content

```

351
00:11:11,120 --> 00:11:12,890 analysis to get at some of the

352
00:11:13,190 --> 00:11:14,229
written responses.
353
00:11:15,190 --> 00:11:17,059
And to supplement this, I
354
00:11:17,060 --> 00:11:18,649
actually also observed
355
00:11:19,190 --> 00:11:20,899
six competence committees across
356
00:11:20,900 --> 00:11:21,900
McMaster, taking
357
00:11:22,850 --> 00:11:24,259
some detailed notes about what was
358
00:11:24,260 --> 00:11:26,299
going on and really
359
00:11:26,300 --> 00:11:27,769
observing practices.
360
00:11:27,800 --> 00:11:29,069
And part of this was just to
361
00:11:29,332 --> 00:11:31,159
sensitize myself to what was
362
00:11:31,160 --> 00:11:32,389
happening so that I had a better
363
```

00:11:32,390 --> 00:11:33,390
understanding.
364
00:11:33,490 --> 00:11:35,156
And part of it is also for a later
365
00:11:35,480 --> 00:11:36,859
phase of this work where we hope to
366
00:11:36,860 --> 00:11:38,450
engage in some more detailed
367
00:11:38,720 --> 00:11:39,980
analysis of those notes.
368
00:11:40,820 --> 00:11:42,437
Right now, we we just kind of use
369
00:11:42,440 --> 00:11:44,510
them to complement our
370
00:11:44,780 --> 00:11:46,549
survey data, but didn't really delve
371
00:11:46,550 --> 00:11:47,660
into them in
372
00:11:48,710 --> 00:11:50,720
any amount of large amount of detail
373
00:11:51,020 --> 00:11:52,020
quite yet.
374
00:11:53,840 --> 00:11:55,408
So in terms of what we found, we
375
00:11:55,700 --> 00:11:57,700
did receive responses from 15

```

376
00:11:57,710 --> 00:11:59,180
programs, 14 of those reported
377
00:11:59,870 --> 00:12:01,369
having competence committees, the
378
00:12:01,370 --> 00:12:03,036
other one was sort of just getting
379
00:12:03,200 --> 00:12:04,369
up and running at the time.
380
00:12:04,870 --> 00:12:05,948
And we did have a good
381
00:12:05,990 --> 00:12:07,820
representation of both small
382
00:12:07,850 --> 00:12:09,320
and mid-sized programs
383
00:12:10,070 --> 00:12:11,486
in terms of the respondents.
384
00:12:12,890 --> 00:12:14,539
As we would expect, the majority of
385
00:12:14,540 --> 00:12:16,249
competence committees were very new.
386
00:12:16,280 --> 00:12:18,169
So about half of them had begun
387
00:12:18,170 --> 00:12:20,299
just the year before and as three
```

00:12:20,300 --> 00:12:21,739
of them were beginning just around
389
00:12:21,740 --> 00:12:22,970
the time of this survey.
390
00:12:24,610 --> 00:12:26,178
So just a quick overview of some
391
00:12:26,500 --> 00:12:27,872
basic facts about competence
392
00:12:28,090 --> 00:12:29,090
committees.
393
00:12:29,260 --> 00:12:30,460
So typically members,
394
00:12:31,100 --> 00:12:32,589
sorry competence committees reported
395
00:12:32,590 --> 00:12:34,359
having between five and nine
396
00:12:34,360 --> 00:12:35,360
members.
397
00:12:36,400 --> 00:12:38,017
They tended to meet approximately
398
00:12:38,290 --> 00:12:39,340
four times a year.
399
00:12:41,130 --> 00:12:43,019
And only interestingly,
4 0 0
00:12:43,020 --> 00:12:44,588
only about half of them reported

```

401
00:12:44,700 --> 00:12:46,409
that they had actually provided
402
00:12:46,560 --> 00:12:48,226
some type of member orientation or
403
00:12:48,330 --> 00:12:49,330
training.
404
00:12:50,580 --> 00:12:52,246
Looking at who is actually serving
405
00:12:52,440 --> 00:12:53,640
on the competence committee,
406
00:12:54,360 --> 00:12:56,490 we had a majority who did not

407
00:12:56,550 --> 00:12:58,230
involve resident members, but
408
00:12:58,440 --> 00:12:59,612
about a third of competence
409
00:12:59,631 --> 00:13:01,248
committees that actually reported
410
00:13:01,470 --> 00:13:03,136
saying that residents were part of
411
00:13:03,270 --> 00:13:04,739
their membership on their committee.
412
00:13:06,300 --> 00:13:08,519
And 64 per cent reported
413
```

00:13:08,520 --> 00:13:09,899
having external members.
4 1 4
00:13:09,930 --> 00:13:11,909
So that would be somebody outside of
4 1 5
00:13:11,910 --> 00:13:13,320
their particular program.
4 1 6
00:13:13,770 --> 00:13:15,629
This was often a PhD trained
4 1 7
00:13:15,660 --> 00:13:16,836
education scientist, but
4 1 8
00:13:17,580 --> 00:13:18,580
not always.
4 1 9
00:13:18,720 --> 00:13:20,339
And then thirty six percent
4 2 0
00:13:20,630 --> 00:13:21,839
said that they did not have any
4 2 1
00:13:21,840 --> 00:13:22,840
external members.
4 2 2
00:13:25,020 --> 00:13:26,784
So all competent committees reported
4 2 3
00:13:26,850 --> 00:13:27,989
reviewing their residents.
424
00:13:28,050 --> 00:13:29,669
at least twice per year.
4 2 5
00:13:30,570 --> 00:13:32,580
And the majority of chairs reported

```

426
00:13:32,610 --> 00:13:34,200
reviewing all of the files,
427
00:13:34,590 --> 00:13:36,029
even though they may have only been
428
00:13:36,030 --> 00:13:38,169
assigned a subset for
429
00:13:38,360 --> 00:13:40,109
sort of more detailed review.
430
00:13:40,140 --> 00:13:41,729
They still reported reviewing all of
431
00:13:41,730 --> 00:13:42,900
the files of the chair.
432
00:13:43,600 --> 00:13:44,600
And the majority of competence
433
00:13:45,420 --> 00:13:46,829
committees allow members to join by
434
00:13:46,830 --> 00:13:48,299
teleconference if needed.
435
00:13:50,600 --> 00:13:52,549
And this is a major theme that
436
00:13:52,880 --> 00:13:54,289
arose that we sort of grouped
437
00:13:54,290 --> 00:13:56,054
together in terms of what was coming
438
```

00:13:56,240 --> 00:13:57,680
out of our survey responses.
4 3 9
00:13:58,760 --> 00:13:59,840
So one of them was
4 4 0
00:14:00,650 --> 00:14:02,169
the issue of academic coaches.
4 4 1
00:14:02,750 --> 00:14:04,069
We were interested in whether or not
4 4 2
00:14:04,070 --> 00:14:05,659
our programs had implemented this
4 4 3
00:14:05,660 --> 00:14:07,130
system, and it seemed that the
444
00:14:07,340 --> 00:14:09,289
majority is almost 80 percent
4 4 5
00:14:09,350 --> 00:14:11,419
had some sort of academic coaching
4 4 6
00:14:11,420 --> 00:14:12,450
system in place.
4 4 7
00:14:13,340 --> 00:14:14,899
But there was a lot of variety in
448
00:14:14,900 --> 00:14:16,729
terms of who is actually serving
449
00:14:16,730 --> 00:14:18,679
as academic coaches and not
4 5 0
00:14:18,680 --> 00:14:20,509
a lot of clarity around

```

451
00:14:20,540 --> 00:14:21,829
what was appropriate and what was
452
00:14:21,830 --> 00:14:22,830
not. So
453
00:14:23,660 --> 00:14:25,129
there were programs that use
454
00:14:25,130 --> 00:14:26,894
longitudinal clinical supervisors as
455
00:14:27,080 --> 00:14:28,080 coaches.

456
00:14:28,190 --> 00:14:29,639
Some people identified those who
457
00:14:29,640 --> 00:14:31,279
were good teachers and said that
458
00:14:31,280 --> 00:14:32,450
they were coaches.
459
00:14:33,590 --> 00:14:35,354
Some people had people volunteer for
460
00:14:35,420 --> 00:14:36,420
the job.
461
00:14:36,530 --> 00:14:37,639
Some were selected
462
00:14:38,420 --> 00:14:40,086
by the program director and others
463
```

00:14:40,220 --> 00:14:41,220
who actually had competence committee
4 6 4
00:14:41,810 --> 00:14:43,035
members also duly serving
4 6 5
00:14:43,730 --> 00:14:44,779
as academic coaches.
4 6 6
00:14:44,840 --> 00:14:46,960
So while programs that implemented
4 6 7
00:14:46,970 --> 00:14:48,889
this role, there
4 6 8
00:14:48,890 --> 00:14:50,809
wasn't a tone of clarity yet around
4 6 9
00:14:50,810 --> 00:14:52,219
exactly what it would look like.
4 7 0
00:14:52,760 --> 00:14:54,049
And just from my observation.
4 7 1
00:14:54,130 --> 00:14:55,579
I will add that there were a number
4 7 2
00:14:55,580 --> 00:14:57,079
of situations where the program
4 7 3
00:14:57,170 --> 00:14:58,970
implemented the coaching system, but
4 7 4
00:14:59,840 --> 00:15:01,219
there was a bit of trouble getting
4 7 5
00:15:01,220 --> 00:15:02,690
it underway in terms of having

```

476
00:15:02,720 --> 00:15:04,549
residents. regularly actually
477
00:15:04,550 --> 00:15:05,900 meet Western coaches.

478
00:15:08,120 --> 00:15:09,710
Data sharing was also
479
00:15:09,970 --> 00:15:12,230 a very prominent theme.

480
00:15:12,260 --> 00:15:13,260
So 93 percent
481
00:15:14,090 --> 00:15:15,769
of competence committees did report
482
00:15:15,770 --> 00:15:17,179
having some sort of electronic
483
00:15:17,180 --> 00:15:18,769
platform to share data with their
484
00:15:18,770 --> 00:15:19,770
members.
485
00:15:20,120 --> 00:15:21,319
With that being said, though, there
486
00:15:21,320 --> 00:15:23,059
was a large variety in the platforms
487
00:15:23,090 --> 00:15:25,039
that were being used, ranging
488
```

00:15:25,040 --> 00:15:26,659
from MedSIS, which is our sort of
4 8 9
00:15:26,660 --> 00:15:28,190
institutional software
4 9 0
00:15:28,580 --> 00:15:30,001
to locally developed software
4 9 1
00:15:30,620 --> 00:15:32,149
that programs themselves have come
4 9 2
00:15:32,150 --> 00:15:33,571
up with, to the Royal College
4 9 3
00:15:33,950 --> 00:15:35,665
Mainport system, which three of the
4 9 4
00:15:35,690 --> 00:15:37,610
programs were using, and then other
4 9 5
00:15:38,360 --> 00:15:39,639
which was generally sort of paper
4 9 6
00:15:39,640 --> 00:15:40,640
based review
4 9 7
00:15:41,740 --> 00:15:43,063
or other forms that weren't
4 9 8
00:15:43,700 --> 00:15:45,309
classified within these categories.
4 9 9
00:15:45,950 --> 00:15:47,179
I'll note on the point of data
500
00:15:47,180 --> 00:15:48,846
sharing that technology in general

```

501
00:15:49,100 --> 00:15:50,869
was something that I really noted
502
00:15:50,870 --> 00:15:52,370
and a lot of my observations.
503
00:15:53,150 --> 00:15:54,919
So there were many reports where
504
00:15:55,160 --> 00:15:56,989
members felt sort of constrained
505
00:15:57,020 --> 00:15:58,559
by the technology they were using.
506
00:15:58,580 --> 00:15:59,780
They didn't necessarily
507
00:16:00,740 --> 00:16:02,406
understand how to use it properly,
508
00:16:02,960 --> 00:16:03,960
or perhaps it didn't
509
00:16:04,790 --> 00:16:06,529
have yet the functionality that they
510
00:16:06,530 --> 00:16:07,530
were looking for.
511
00:16:08,240 --> 00:16:09,799
So this was a really big
512
00:16:10,160 --> 00:16:11,419
piece that came out of my
513
```

00:16:11,420 --> 00:16:12,529
observational data.
514
00:16:13,300 --> 00:16:14,479
There were there was also quite a
515
00:16:14,480 --> 00:16:16,272
bit of variance around members'
516
00:16:16,340 --> 00:16:17,599
comfort with technology.
517
00:16:17,640 --> 00:16:19,219
Some people really knew how to use
518
00:16:19,220 --> 00:16:20,869
the system and use it to their
519
00:16:20,870 --> 00:16:22,039
advantage and others
520
00:16:22,970 --> 00:16:24,589
didn't quite have that same level of
521
00:16:24,590 --> 00:16:25,590
proficiency.
522
00:16:26,930 --> 00:16:29,119
Member workload was also
523
00:16:29,300 --> 00:16:30,300
something that came up.
524
00:16:30,860 --> 00:16:32,239
We were interested to see this
525
00:16:32,240 --> 00:16:33,890
because there has been some

```

526
00:16:34,760 --> 00:16:36,840
fear, I guess, among faculty that
527
00:16:36,860 --> 00:16:37,940
competence committees might
528
00:16:38,030 --> 00:16:39,529
constitute more workload than
529
00:16:39,530 --> 00:16:41,159
previous review processes.
530
00:16:42,140 --> 00:16:44,480
So meeting frequency
531
00:16:44,660 --> 00:16:46,010 certainly seemed to be

532
00:16:46,730 --> 00:16:47,709
increased a little bit.
533
00:16:47,710 --> 00:16:49,279
So once every four months,
534
00:16:50,750 --> 00:16:52,639
however, 79
535
00:16:52,700 --> 00:16:53,989
percent of competence committees
536
00:16:53,990 --> 00:16:55,579
reported that they didn't actually
537
00:16:55,580 --> 00:16:57,719
assign a set time to file reviews.
538
```

00:16:57,730 --> 00:16:59,659
They would give each file the amount
539
00:16:59,660 --> 00:17:01,032
of time that it needed to be
540
00:17:01,070 --> 00:17:02,070
discussed.
541
00:17:02,630 --> 00:17:03,757
And about 60 percent of
542
00:17:04,400 --> 00:17:05,929
competencecommittees reported that
543
00:17:05,930 --> 00:17:07,297
they assigned members
544
00:17:08,050 --> 00:17:09,226
files so that would help
545
00:17:10,040 --> 00:17:11,479
distribute the workload among
546
00:17:11,480 --> 00:17:13,130
members. And the average was
547
00:17:13,369 --> 00:17:14,660
two files per member.
548
00:17:16,920 --> 00:17:17,920
Engagement.
5 4 9
00:17:18,960 --> 00:17:20,669
So this was actually something that
550
00:17:20,670 --> 00:17:22,369
also came out of my observation.

```

551
00:17:22,470 --> 00:17:23,940
One commonality that I noticed
552
00:17:24,240 --> 00:17:26,039
across all of the programs that I
553
00:17:26,040 --> 00:17:27,118
observed was that,
554
00:17:27,930 --> 00:17:29,339
there were many instances
555
00:17:29,970 --> 00:17:32,250 where they had trouble enacting

556
00:17:32,310 --> 00:17:33,989
the decision making process because
557
00:17:33,990 --> 00:17:35,219
they actually just didn't have
558
00:17:35,220 --> 00:17:36,629
enough data about a particular
559
00:17:36,630 --> 00:17:37,630
resident. So,
560
00:17:38,720 --> 00:17:40,259
I mean, all of the programs talked
561
00:17:40,260 --> 00:17:42,239
about some challenges
562
00:17:42,270 --> 00:17:44,390
getting people complete assessments.
563
```

00:17:45,210 --> 00:17:47,344
Whether that was getting residents
564
00:17:47,460 --> 00:17:48,629
to actually trigger them in the
565
00:17:48,630 --> 00:17:50,198
system, but also getting faculty
566
00:17:50,490 --> 00:17:51,869
members to actually complete them in
567
00:17:51,870 --> 00:17:52,950
a timely fashion.
568
00:17:53,820 --> 00:17:55,049
Like I said, there were several
5 6 9
00:17:55,050 --> 00:17:56,930
instances where people's
570
00:17:56,970 --> 00:17:58,829
reviews had to be deferred or
571
00:17:58,830 --> 00:18:00,240
they had to be told that
572
00:18:00,690 --> 00:18:01,690
they needed to get more assesments
573
00:18:02,040 --> 00:18:04,500
in order to be evaluated
574
00:18:04,530 --> 00:18:06,119
in that round because there just
575
00:18:06,120 --> 00:18:07,140
wasn't enough data.

```

576
00:18:08,650 --> 00:18:10,519
And for five minutes and
577
00:18:10,530 --> 00:18:11,951
centered around a sort of the
578
00:18:11,970 --> 00:18:13,342
broader role of a competence
579
00:18:13,440 --> 00:18:15,719
committee and whether or not
580
00:18:15,960 --> 00:18:17,319
they should have a role in
581
00:18:17,490 --> 00:18:19,440 educational plans and remediation.

582
00:18:19,920 --> 00:18:21,689
So about 60 percent of competence
583
00:18:21,690 --> 00:18:23,369
committees reported that
584
00:18:23,580 --> 00:18:24,897
residents who are meeting milestones
585
00:18:24,898 --> 00:18:26,729
or EPAs were actually given
586
00:18:26,730 --> 00:18:28,799
less time for review than those
587
00:18:29,130 --> 00:18:30,420 who were not meeting them.

588
```

00:18:32,010 --> 00:18:33,599
And a 60 percent
589
00:18:33,840 --> 00:18:35,550
reported providing input into
590
00:18:37,300 --> 00:18:38,961
the enhanced educational plans
591
00:18:39,180 --> 00:18:40,319
if they were required.
592
00:18:40,620 --> 00:18:41,759
So there seemed to be a little bit
593
00:18:41,760 --> 00:18:43,379
of a lack of clarity about whether
594
00:18:43,380 --> 00:18:44,549
or not the competence committee
595
00:18:44,550 --> 00:18:46,118
should have a role in developing
596
00:18:46,650 --> 00:18:48,659
those plans or whether they simply
597
00:18:48,660 --> 00:18:50,039
made a recommendation and then it
598
00:18:50,040 --> 00:18:51,265
was the residency program
599
00:18:52,170 --> 00:18:53,399
committee or some other committee
600
00:18:53,400 --> 00:18:55,050
that dealt with the actual

```

601
00:18:55,710 --> 00:18:57,810
sort of educational plan creation.
602
00:18:59,140 --> 00:19:01,109
And only about 60 percent
603
00:19:01,110 --> 00:19:02,729
reported having oversight
604
00:19:02,940 --> 00:19:04,557
of a remediation processes should
605
00:19:04,560 --> 00:19:05,429
they be required.
606
00:19:05,430 --> 00:19:06,449
So, again, there was a bit of
607
00:19:06,450 --> 00:19:08,609
tension around what exactly
608
00:19:08,610 --> 00:19:09,950
the role of the committee was.
609
00:19:11,780 --> 00:19:12,809
So just to end off, I
610
00:19:13,640 --> 00:19:14,816
think what we have found
611
00:19:15,470 --> 00:19:16,470
was that there is
612
00:19:17,450 --> 00:19:19,099
some commonalities across program,
613
```

00:19:19,130 --> 00:19:20,130
but also some
6 1 4
00:19:20,990 --> 00:19:22,789
variability to where they seem to
615
00:19:22,790 --> 00:19:24,456
have adapted the processes to work
616
00:19:24,560 --> 00:19:26,059
for them in their own context.
6 1 7
00:19:26,100 --> 00:19:27,521
So there is a lot of context,
6 1 8
00:19:27,920 --> 00:19:29,359
specificity when it comes to
6 1 9
00:19:29,360 --> 00:19:30,979
competence committees, at least in
6 2 0
00:19:30,980 --> 00:19:31,980
our institution.
6 2 1
00:19:32,840 --> 00:19:34,369
And we were interested that
62
00:19:34,700 --> 00:19:36,199
it seemed like programs really, at
623
00:19:36,200 --> 00:19:37,699
least in Canada, were still at the
624
00:19:37,700 --> 00:19:40,069
phase of implementing committees
625
00:19:40,070 --> 00:19:41,389
and figuring out what that would

```

626
00:19:41,390 --> 00:19:43,130
look like in terms of membership,
627
00:19:43,160 --> 00:19:44,629
meeting frequency and things like
628
00:19:44,630 --> 00:19:46,247
that, rather than really focusing
629
00:19:46,880 --> 00:19:48,559
on the actual decision making
630
00:19:48,560 --> 00:19:49,834
process, which when I went
631
00:19:50,390 --> 00:19:51,919
into this work, that was really what
632
00:19:51,920 --> 00:19:53,029
I was interested in, was the
633
00:19:53,030 --> 00:19:54,696
specific processes around decision
634
00:19:55,040 --> 00:19:56,910
making, the, you know,
635
00:19:56,960 --> 00:19:58,443
biases and how that might be
636
00:19:58,856 --> 00:20:00,169
impacted. But really what we were
637
00:20:00,170 --> 00:20:01,789
finding is that programs are still
638
```

00:20:01,790 --> 00:20:03,289
grappling with those really basic
639
00:20:03,290 --> 00:20:05,050
questions around implementation.
6 4 0
00:20:06,380 --> 00:20:07,579
And I think addressing some of those
6 4 1
00:20:07,580 --> 00:20:09,295
challenges might give way for us to
642
00:20:09,380 --> 00:20:11,095
start also looking into more detail
6 4 3
00:20:11,270 --> 00:20:12,980
about the decision making processes,
644
00:20:13,010 --> 00:20:14,689
which I know many of us have already
6 4 5
00:20:14,690 --> 00:20:15,690
started doing.
646
00:20:15,890 --> 00:20:17,458
But this will allow us even more
647
00:20:17,720 --> 00:20:18,720
room to do so.
648
00:20:18,920 --> 00:20:20,684
And also for the committee itself to
649
00:20:20,720 --> 00:20:22,099
focus on those decision making
6 5 0
00:20:22,100 --> 00:20:23,539
processes, because if they're not

```

651
00:20:23,540 --> 00:20:25,157
worried about, you know, figuring
652
00:20:25,700 --> 00:20:27,229
out whether people would even show
653
00:20:27,230 --> 00:20:28,159
up to the meeting, but they were
654
00:20:28,160 --> 00:20:29,287
instead, you know, more
655
00:20:30,080 --> 00:20:31,750 solid on the implementation side,

656
00:20:32,300 --> 00:20:33,919
then I think that gives way to sort
657
00:20:33,920 --> 00:20:35,439
of the next steps in this whole
658
00:20:35,780 --> 00:20:36,780
process.
659
00:20:38,870 --> 00:20:40,080
So that's everything for me.
660
00:20:40,150 --> 00:20:41,710
So thank you so much for listening.
661
00:20:41,750 --> 00:20:43,569
And I did put my contact info on the
662
00:20:43,570 --> 00:20:45,539
screen because I'm happy to connect
663
```

00:20:45,540 --> 00:20:46,949
with anyone who is interested in
664
00:20:46,950 --> 00:20:47,950
this work.
665
00:20:50,820 --> 00:20:51,749
Thank you very much, Anita.
6 6 6
00:20:51,750 --> 00:20:52,750
That's great.
6 6 7
00:20:53,010 --> 00:20:54,539
I think find you were really
6 6 8
00:20:54,540 --> 00:20:55,679
interesting and a nice summary of
6 6 9
00:20:55,680 --> 00:20:56,730
what's happening at your site and
6 7 0
00:20:57,120 --> 00:20:58,589
giving us ideas for moving forward.
6 7 1
00:21:00,150 --> 00:21:01,629
As I mentioned, as you mentioned off
6 7 2
00:21:01,630 --> 00:21:02,999
the front, we're going to pause for
6 7 3
00:21:03,000 --> 00:21:04,859
questions until at the
6 7 4
00:21:04,860 --> 00:21:06,150
end of the three presentations.
6 7 5
00:21:06,600 --> 00:21:08,520
So at this time, I'll invite

```

676
00:21:09,720 --> 00:21:12,029
Dr. Rachel Pack to
677
00:21:12,660 --> 00:21:14,670
come on for us and and
678
00:21:14,820 --> 00:21:15,820
talk to us a little bit.
679
00:21:16,440 --> 00:21:17,969
She's going to talk to us about some
680
00:21:17,970 --> 00:21:19,769
assembly required problematic
681
00:21:19,770 --> 00:21:21,089
evidence in the interpretive work of
682
00:21:21,090 --> 00:21:22,289
clinical competence committees.
683
00:21:23,430 --> 00:21:25,180
I personally find the
684
00:21:25,320 --> 00:21:27,270
paper work really, really
685
00:21:27,510 --> 00:21:28,619
useful, reading helpful for
686
00:21:28,620 --> 00:21:29,399
competence committees.
687
00:21:29,400 --> 00:21:30,809
And so, it's a pleasure to have you
688
```

00:21:30,810 --> 00:21:31,619
here chatting with us today.
6 8 9
00:21:31,620 --> 00:21:32,620
Thanks a lot.
6 9 0
00:21:34,110 --> 00:21:35,420
Well, thank you for that kind
6 9 1
00:21:35,440 --> 00:21:36,440
introduction.
6 9 2
00:21:37,080 --> 00:21:38,039
I would like to start this
6 9 3
00:21:38,040 --> 00:21:39,689
presentation with just a very brief
6 9 4
00:21:39,690 --> 00:21:40,690
disclaimer.
6 9 5
00:21:41,210 --> 00:21:43,259
I am not a program evaluator,
6 9 6
00:21:44,370 --> 00:21:46,499
but I promise I do have something
6 9 7
00:21:46,500 --> 00:21:47,500
to offer you today.
698
00:21:47,890 --> 00:21:49,619
And I think what I hope to show you
699
00:21:50,040 --> 00:21:51,960
is some insights
7 0 0
00:21:52,020 --> 00:21:54,089
into how sociological ways

```

701
00:21:54,180 --> 00:21:56,069
of thinking and seeing might help
702
00:21:56,070 --> 00:21:58,170
us better understand things like
703
00:21:58,230 --> 00:21:59,940
how competence committes work.
704
00:22:00,810 --> 00:22:01,799
So if you have thoughts and
705
00:22:01,800 --> 00:22:03,269
questions about that after, I'd be
706
00:22:03,270 --> 00:22:04,291
happy to talk about it, but
707
00:22:05,280 --> 00:22:06,280 for now, let's have a look at the presentation.

708
00:22:10,300 --> 00:22:11,300
OK.
709
00:22:12,990 --> 00:22:14,853
So Anita
710
00:22:14,915 --> 00:22:16,619
conveyed to us competence committees
711
00:22:16,650 --> 00:22:18,359
are a really integral component of
712
00:22:18,360 --> 00:22:19,360
CBME.

713
00:22:19,620 --> 00:22:21,089
They're responsible for reviewing
714
00:22:21,090 --> 00:22:22,439
performance data and making
715
00:22:22,440 --> 00:22:24,059
decisions about entrustment.
716
00:22:24,720 --> 00:22:26,549
And currently, I think there are two
717
00:22:26,550 --> 00:22:27,839
broad conversations that are
718
00:22:27,840 --> 00:22:28,769
happening about competence
719
00:22:28,770 --> 00:22:29,770
committees.
720
00:22:30,000 --> 00:22:31,710
The first is concerned with fairness
721
00:22:32,220 --> 00:22:33,479
and the need for standardized
722
00:22:33,480 --> 00:22:34,480
processes.
723
00:22:34,770 --> 00:22:36,119
And the second is focused on
724
00:22:36,120 --> 00:22:37,120
evidence quality.
725
00:22:38,120 --> 00:22:39,599

And underpinning both of these
726
00:22:39,600 --> 00:22:41,070
conversations is an assumption
727
00:22:41,910 --> 00:22:43,709
that data can be objective.
728
00:22:44,850 --> 00:22:46,679
But I think this belies
729
00:22:46,680 --> 00:22:48,150
the possibility that objective
730
00:22:48,510 --> 00:22:49,637 data might not actually

731
00:22:50,460 --> 00:22:53,279
exist and that data interpretation
732
00:22:53,670 --> 00:22:55,399
is, in fact a social process.
733
00:22:57,980 --> 00:22:59,499
So with these things in mind,
734
00:23:00,400 --> 00:23:02,230
the research question we asked was
735
00:23:02,320 --> 00:23:03,670
how to competence committees
736
00:23:03,760 --> 00:23:05,319
actually make sense of the
737
00:23:05,320 --> 00:23:06,729
assessment data they're presented

738
00:23:06,730 --> 00:23:07,730
with.
739
00:23:10,320 --> 00:23:11,450
So for this construction of this
740
00:23:11,730 --> 00:23:13,001
ground theory study, we incorporated
741
00:23:14,470 --> 00:23:16,560
participant, non non participant
742
00:23:16,590 --> 00:23:18,377
observations with semi-structured
743
00:23:18,640 --> 00:23:19,599 interviews.

744
00:23:19,600 --> 00:23:21,100
So between September 2017
745
00:23:21,750 --> 00:23:24,390
and July 2019, two researchers
746
00:23:24,480 --> 00:23:26,309
observed 18 competence committee
747
00:23:26,310 --> 00:23:28,069
meetings across seven programs.
748
00:23:28,650 --> 00:23:30,502
And we produced 18 detailed sets
749
00:23:30,930 --> 00:23:31,739
until now.
750
00:23:31,740 --> 00:23:33,660

After each meeting we constructed,
751
00:23:34,710 --> 00:23:35,723
we conducted a semi-structured
752
00:23:36,240 --> 00:23:37,529
interview with one member of the
753
00:23:37,530 --> 00:23:38,530 committee.

754
00:23:38,540 --> 00:23:40,380
And our data collection and analysis
755
00:23:40,510 --> 00:23:41,510 unfolded iteratively.

756
00:23:50,010 --> 00:23:50,819
0k! The slide does not seem to want
757
00:23:50,820 --> 00:23:51,820
to advance here.
758
00:23:54,290 --> 00:23:55,290
Right.
759
00:23:55,900 --> 00:23:57,389
So mirroring the literature, we
760
00:23:57,390 --> 00:23:58,829
found the competence committee
761
00:23:58,830 --> 00:24:00,545
members assumed that the data would
762
00:24:00,750 --> 00:24:01,926
be high quality and that

763
00:24:02,670 --> 00:24:03,929
it would enable them to make
764
00:24:03,930 --> 00:24:05,302 decisions using a systematic

765
00:24:05,700 --> 00:24:06,700 process.

766
00:24:07,090 --> 00:24:08,309 And when evidence met, these

767
00:24:08,310 --> 00:24:09,929 conditions, their data

768
00:24:09,960 --> 00:24:11,809 interpretation process appeared

769
00:24:11,810 --> 00:24:12,900
to be effortless.
770
00:24:13,650 --> 00:24:15,199
Committee members quickly reached
771
00:24:15,210 --> 00:24:16,829
decision and there was little
772
00:24:16,830 --> 00:24:18,420
discussion or debate required.
773
00:24:19,770 --> 00:24:21,689
But we also observed
774
00:24:21,750 --> 00:24:23,579
other instances where the
775
00:24:23,580 --> 00:24:25,001
```

evidence was not easy to make
776
00:24:25,410 --> 00:24:26,219
sense of.
77
00:24:26,220 --> 00:24:27,749
And the committee had to grapple
778
00:24:27,750 --> 00:24:29,819
with different pieces of data
779
00:24:29,880 --> 00:24:32,130
to determine what actually counted
7 8 0
00:24:32,200 --> 00:24:33,200
as evidence.
781
00:24:34,070 --> 00:24:36,150
And these moments appear to happen
782
00:24:36,210 --> 00:24:37,619
when what we've termed as
7 8 3
00:24:37,620 --> 00:24:39,150
problematic evidence
784
00:24:39,600 --> 00:24:41,070
or evidence that was perceived
785
00:24:41,700 --> 00:24:43,170
to be of questionable quality,
786
00:24:43,470 --> 00:24:45,150
validity or reliability
787
00:24:45,520 --> 00:24:46,559
was introduced.

```

788
00:24:47,860 --> 00:24:49,930
And pervasive rather than aberrant,
789
00:24:50,320 --> 00:24:52,569
problematic evidence was present
790
00:24:52,690 --> 00:24:53,690
at all meetings.
791
00:24:53,710 --> 00:24:55,179
And it was found in the assessment
792
00:24:55,180 --> 00:24:56,454
data of both residents who
793
00:24:57,060 --> 00:24:59,019 were progressing as expected and

794
00:24:59,050 --> 00:25:00,239
those who were not.
795
00:25:01,180 --> 00:25:02,970
The focus of today's presentation is
796
00:25:02,990 --> 00:25:03,990
problematic evidence.
797
00:25:05,020 --> 00:25:06,970
And to show you how committees
798
00:25:07,060 --> 00:25:08,439 actually grapple with this kind of

799
00:25:08,440 --> 00:25:10,660
evidence, I need to tell your story.
800
00:25:12,610 --> 00:25:14,374
```

Our story begins with Alex, a junior
801
00:25:14,650 --> 00:25:16,316
resident who's described as a hard
802
00:25:16,330 --> 00:25:17,947
worker. They're well-liked by the
803
00:25:17,980 --> 00:25:18,980
faculty.
804
00:25:19,210 --> 00:25:20,619
This training has achieved the
805
00:25:20,620 --> 00:25:22,119
highest number of EPA in their
806
00:25:22,120 --> 00:25:23,296
cohort, and has received
807
00:25:23,980 --> 00:25:25,900
largely positive feedback.
808
00:25:26,640 --> 00:25:28,355
In the preliminary discussion, this
809
00:25:28,540 --> 00:25:30,369
data appears to align with
810
00:25:30,370 --> 00:25:32,134
the committee's perception that this
811
00:25:32,290 --> 00:25:33,910
resident is a strong performer.
812
00:25:34,900 --> 00:25:36,760
The evidence presented thus far,

```

813
00:25:36,880 --> 00:25:38,397
can just largely other assessor
814
00:25:38,770 --> 00:25:40,809
generated rankings on a five point
815
00:25:40,810 --> 00:25:41,810
entrustment scale.
816
00:25:42,100 --> 00:25:43,630
And these are easily transformed
817
00:25:43,660 --> 00:25:44,890
into an aggregate measure.
818
00:25:45,760 --> 00:25:47,589
This type of evidence lends
819
00:25:47,590 --> 00:25:49,479
itself well to the follow the
820
00:25:49,480 --> 00:25:50,803
numbers approach favored by
821
00:25:51,430 --> 00:25:52,430
the committee.
822
00:25:53,880 --> 00:25:55,439
When committee member described this
823
00:25:55,440 --> 00:25:57,239
process for us, saying
824
00:25:57,630 --> 00:25:59,430
the main factors in decision making
825
00:25:59,550 --> 00:26:01,310
are what we see from the evaluations
826
00:26:01,320 --> 00:26:02,790
themselves and not to personal
827
00:26:03,450 --> 00:26:05,214
knowledge of residents biases is too
828
00:26:05,220 --> 00:26:06,149
much.
829
00:26:06,150 --> 00:26:07,319
Because we have to go with what
830
00:26:07,320 --> 00:26:08,320 we're given.

831
00:26:08,430 --> 00:26:09,644
We're just there to look at:
832
00:26:10,260 --> 00:26:11,849
did they make the grade based on the
833
00:26:11,850 --> 00:26:12,890
system we threw them in?
834
00:26:13,350 --> 00:26:14,609
Yes or no.
835
00:26:15,240 --> 00:26:16,260
And then move on.
836
00:26:18,100 --> 00:26:19,779
The presenter draws the committee's
837
00:26:19,780 --> 00:26:21,152
attention to Alex's score on

838
00:26:21,670 --> 00:26:22,670
a recent exam.
839
00:26:23,080 --> 00:26:25,359
The score places them in the 15th
840
00:26:25,360 --> 00:26:26,360
percentile.
841
00:26:27,100 --> 00:26:29,109
In previous discussions, standard
842
00:26:29,110 --> 00:26:31,030 exam data has been interpreted

843
00:26:31,060 --> 00:26:32,589
by members of this committee
844
00:26:33,070 --> 00:26:34,749
as a reliable measure of medical
845
00:26:34,750 --> 00:26:35,750
knowledge.
846
00:26:36,010 --> 00:26:37,659
Given the perceived strength of this
847
00:26:37,660 --> 00:26:38,499
data.
848
00:26:38,500 --> 00:26:40,780
Scores below the 25th percentile
849
00:26:40,840 --> 00:26:42,160
have triggered remediation
850
00:26:42,190 --> 00:26:44,410
strategies such as the assignment
851
00:26:44,440 --> 00:26:46,359
of an academic advisor or a coach
852
00:26:46,710 --> 00:26:48,140
or a remedial exam.
853
00:26:49,170 --> 00:26:50,829
And this process is common across
854
00:26:50,920 --> 00:26:51,920
all species.
855
00:26:52,240 --> 00:26:53,612
Exams are frequently used to
856
00:26:53,740 --> 00:26:55,809
identify outliers and initiate
857
00:26:55,810 --> 00:26:56,810
remediation.
858
00:26:58,960 --> 00:27:00,549
When program director explain the
859
00:27:00,550 --> 00:27:01,959
rationale to us,
860
00:27:03,070 --> 00:27:04,687
referring to exams, they said, if
861
00:27:04,930 --> 00:27:06,100
you're not within the competence
862
00:27:06,130 --> 00:27:07,796 interval or you're within a really

863
00:27:08,140 --> 00:27:10,049
low portion of the bell curve, then
864
00:27:10,120 --> 00:27:12,099
that's a red flag because everyone
865
00:27:12,100 --> 00:27:14,050
else writes the exam in the country.
866
00:27:14,650 --> 00:27:16,209
So there are enough scores that you
867
00:27:16,210 --> 00:27:18,369
can say, well, if everyone
868
00:27:18,370 --> 00:27:19,989
is scoring between thirty five and
869
00:27:19,990 --> 00:27:21,839
forty five and you're getting 16.
870
00:27:22,930 --> 00:27:23,930
That's a problem.
871
00:27:26,090 --> 00:27:27,560
In this instance, however, the
872
00:27:27,650 --> 00:27:29,071
committee does not follow the
873
00:27:29,390 --> 00:27:30,390
standard process.
874
00:27:30,720 --> 00:27:32,599
Alex, this exam is perceived
875
00:27:32,600 --> 00:27:34,070
to be problematic evidence.
876
00:27:34,490 --> 00:27:36,080
It does not trigger the assignment
877
00:27:36,200 --> 00:27:37,666
of a coach or an academic advisor.
878
00:27:39,260 --> 00:27:41,210
Instead, the committee members
879
00:27:41,270 --> 00:27:42,690
interrogate this data point.
880
00:27:43,370 --> 00:27:45,109
They contrasted to and read it
881
00:27:45,110 --> 00:27:46,940
against observed instances
882
00:27:47,030 --> 00:27:48,619
of Alex's medical expertize.
883
00:27:49,460 --> 00:27:51,289
This deviation from the fall of the
884
00:27:51,290 --> 00:27:52,613
numbers approach was driven
885
00:27:53,420 --> 00:27:55,220
by an evidentiary disjuncture.
886
00:27:55,780 --> 00:27:57,800
The exam score did not align
887
00:27:57,860 --> 00:27:58,909
with our perceptions of the

888
00:27:58,910 --> 00:27:59,910
residents..
889
00:28:00,440 --> 00:28:02,060
And if the effortful discussion
890
00:28:02,150 --> 00:28:04,279
evolves, the discrepancy point
891
00:28:04,370 --> 00:28:05,869
is further interrogated
892
00:28:06,270 --> 00:28:07,969
and the evidentiary value of the
893
00:28:07,970 --> 00:28:10,160
exam itself is called into question.
894
00:28:10,970 --> 00:28:12,291
At the conclusion of this debate, the
895
00:28:13,070 --> 00:28:14,785
committee is no longer certain that
896
00:28:14,900 --> 00:28:16,223
the exam score can reliably
897
00:28:16,700 --> 00:28:18,799
communicate how their trainees
898
00:28:18,800 --> 00:28:20,480 knowledge base compares to other.

899
00:28:22,370 --> 00:28:23,629
Reflecting on this after the
900
00:28:23,630 --> 00:28:25,339
```

meeting, when committee member told
901
00:28:25,340 --> 00:28:26,565
us "we don't particularly
902
00:28:27,650 --> 00:28:29,599
know, I myself don't really know
903
00:28:29,600 --> 00:28:31,130
what the appropriate comparison is.
904
00:28:31,490 --> 00:28:32,989
Who are they being percentile ranked
905
00:28:32,990 --> 00:28:33,859
against?
906
00:28:33,860 --> 00:28:34,860
We don't actually know that.
907
00:28:35,390 --> 00:28:37,110
We're assuming it's across Canada.
908
00:28:37,250 --> 00:28:38,900
But it's a North American test.
909
00:28:39,440 --> 00:28:40,999
There's flexibility on when you can
910
00:28:41,000 --> 00:28:42,000
ride it.
911
00:28:42,050 --> 00:28:43,339
So there's a little bit of mystery
912
00:28:43,340 --> 00:28:44,239
around that.

```

913
00:28:44,240 --> 00:28:45,767
How can you really compare that?".
914
00:28:49,040 --> 00:28:50,657
In this example, the discovery of
915
00:28:50,920 --> 00:28:52,779
problematic evidence prompted a
916
00:28:52,780 --> 00:28:54,670
committee to re-examine
917
00:28:54,970 --> 00:28:56,538 the previously assumed objective

918
00:28:56,710 --> 00:28:58,319
quality of exam data,
919
00:28:58,660 --> 00:29:00,179
and it called into question its
920
00:29:00,220 --> 00:29:02,320
value in their interpretive process.
921
00:29:03,160 --> 00:29:04,777
Ultimately, the committee decides
922
00:29:05,170 --> 00:29:06,999
to defer any decision about
923
00:29:07,060 --> 00:29:09,009
Alex's need for academic advising
924
00:29:09,460 --> 00:29:10,930
until they get additional high
925
00:29:11,110 --> 00:29:12,110
quality data.
926
00:29:12,760 --> 00:29:14,049
The decision reached by this
927
00:29:14,050 --> 00:29:15,790
committee is illustrative
928
00:29:15,910 --> 00:29:17,625
of two of the most common responses
929
00:29:17,800 --> 00:29:19,299
to problematic evidence to be
930
00:29:19,300 --> 00:29:20,672 observed: deferring decision

931
00:29:21,280 --> 00:29:23,319
making and asking for more
932
00:29:23,320 --> 00:29:24,320
evidence.
933
00:29:29,090 --> 00:29:30,805
What I hope I've shown you today is
934
00:29:31,040 --> 00:29:32,449
that the question of data
935
00:29:32,480 --> 00:29:34,430
interpretation is a worthwhile one.
936
00:29:35,810 --> 00:29:38,030
In the story we used, we highlighted
937
00:29:38,080 --> 00:29:40,130
a discordance between exam data
```

938
00:29:40,190 --> 00:29:41,190
and the committees.
939
00:29:42,370 --> 00:29:43,987
And this example is probably very
940
00:29:44,450 --> 00:29:45,499
familiar to you.
941
00:29:46,400 --> 00:29:47,989
And it's a feeling of deja vu that
942
00:29:47,990 --> 00:29:49,760
you're sitting in is purposeful.
943
00:29:50,570 --> 00:29:52,138
It demonstrates the common sense
944
00:29:52,280 --> 00:29:53,939
nature of the social phenomenon
945
00:29:53,960 --> 00:29:54,960
we've described.
946
00:29:55,460 --> 00:29:57,126
Our aim in this story, though, was
947
00:29:57,290 --> 00:29:59,880
to make this familiar, unfamiliar
948
00:30:00,260 --> 00:30:02,180
by illustrating and challenging
949
00:30:02,210 --> 00:30:03,589
an assumption in the assessment
950
00:30:03,590 --> 00:30:04,590

```
literature.

951
00:30:05,270 --> 00:30:06,949
The data supported by validity
952
00:30:06,950 --> 00:30:08,175
evidence does not require
953
00:30:08,480 --> 00:30:09,480
interpretation.
954
00:30:10,940 --> 00:30:12,459
I think what our story shows is
955
00:30:12,770 --> 00:30:14,449
that this assumption doesn't always
956
00:30:14,450 --> 00:30:16,165
hold true in practice, particularly
957
00:30:17,000 --> 00:30:19,130
when the evidence contradicts
958
00:30:19,280 --> 00:30:20,599
or doesn't align with
959
00:30:21,170 --> 00:30:23,029
faculty members perceptions of
960
00:30:23,030 --> 00:30:24,049
a trainee's performance.
961
00:30:25,640 --> 00:30:27,049
Underpinning much of the literature
962
00:30:27,050 --> 00:30:28,569
from competence committees is a

963
00:30:28,640 --> 00:30:30,549
presumption that these
964
00:30:30,590 --> 00:30:32,569
small groups can and will engage
965
00:30:32,630 --> 00:30:34,670
in a process of data, interpretation
966
00:30:34,700 --> 00:30:36,121
and synthesis that is uniform
967
00:30:36,890 --> 00:30:39,170
and effortless, a process
968
00:30:39,190 --> 00:30:41,119
that's akin to pixels seamlessly
969
00:30:41,120 --> 00:30:42,739
fitting together to form a picture.
970
00:30:44,340 --> 00:30:45,949
I mean, finding the competence
971
00:30:45,950 --> 00:30:47,659
committees crackle with problematic
972
00:30:47,660 --> 00:30:49,400
evidence calls into question is
973
00:30:49,410 --> 00:30:50,684
assumption and illustrates
974
00:30:51,380 --> 00:30:53,269
the data synthesis is often
975
00:30:53,360 --> 00:30:55,280
```

not effortless or automatic.
976
00:30:55,670 --> 00:30:57,306
It requires human assembly
977
00:30:57,740 --> 00:30:59,980
interpretation and judgment.
978
00:31:02,390 --> 00:31:03,499
Now, there are some practical
979
00:31:03,500 --> 00:31:05,166
insights that can be garnered from
980
00:31:05,270 --> 00:31:06,593
the social process, a state
981
00:31:06,680 --> 00:31:08,480
interpretation that we theorized.
982
00:31:09,290 --> 00:31:10,490
The committees we observed
983
00:31:10,550 --> 00:31:12,109
frequently encounter problematic
984
00:31:12,110 --> 00:31:13,110
evidence.
985
00:31:13,130 --> 00:31:14,599
It happens at every meeting.
986
00:31:15,470 --> 00:31:16,925
To make sense of this data,they
987
00:31:17,240 --> 00:31:19,469
engage in a really effortful process

```

988
00:31:19,480 --> 00:31:20,480
of discussion.
989
00:31:20,570 --> 00:31:22,129
They dive deep into the data and
990
00:31:22,130 --> 00:31:23,989
they seek out additional sources of
991
00:31:23,990 --> 00:31:24,990
information.
992
00:31:25,370 --> 00:31:26,987
And these processes are extremely
993
00:31:27,170 --> 00:31:28,170
time consuming.
994
00:31:28,490 --> 00:31:30,769
They require faculty to dedicate
995
00:31:30,770 --> 00:31:32,240
significant energy, effort and
996
00:31:32,630 --> 00:31:33,630
time.
997
00:31:34,840 --> 00:31:36,799
And programs need to be prepared
998
00:31:36,800 --> 00:31:38,417
to confront this challenge and to
999
00:31:38,480 --> 00:31:40,160
reverse the competence communities
1000
00:31:40,580 --> 00:31:42,529
```

in a way that supports their ability
1001
00:31:42,530 --> 00:31:43,902
to meaningfully grapple with
1002
00:31:43,970 --> 00:31:44,970
problematic evidence.
1003
00:31:47,230 --> 00:31:48,389
So I'd like to thank all the
1004
00:31:48,390 --> 00:31:50,339
participants for this study and
1005
00:31:50,340 --> 00:31:51,663
the Royal College for their
1006
00:31:52,200 --> 00:31:53,200
support.
1007
00:31:54,000 --> 00:31:55,372
I look forward to discussing
1008
00:31:55,950 --> 00:31:57,239
this work with you later on in the
1009
00:31:57,240 --> 00:31:58,240
session.
1010
00:31:58,440 --> 00:31:59,440
Thank you.
1011
00:32:01,140 --> 00:32:02,529
Thank you very much, Rachel.
1012
00:32:03,420 --> 00:32:05,609
Okay, so we'll now move

```

1013
00:32:05,970 --> 00:32:07,840
to our third presenter.
1014
00:32:10,360 --> 00:32:11,360
Dr. Karen Hauer will
1015
00:32:12,190 --> 00:32:13,758
be presenting for us on Clinical
1016
00:32:14,260 --> 00:32:15,129
Competence Committee
1017
00:32:15,130 --> 00:32:16,809
Decision-making: Maximizing the
1018
00:32:16,810 --> 00:32:18,280
value of information sharing.
1019
00:32:18,670 --> 00:32:19,959
And I handed over to Karen.
1020
00:32:19,990 --> 00:32:20,990
Thank you.
1021
00:32:29,160 --> 00:32:30,780
Karen, I think you might be muted.
1022
00:32:31,860 --> 00:32:32,940
Just check one second.
1023
00:32:32,970 --> 00:32:34,019
I'm not able to hear you.
1024
00:32:34,030 --> 00:32:35,309
I'm not sure about other people.
1025
00:32:43,220 --> 00:32:44,220

I can't hear either.
1026
00:32:57,990 --> 00:32:59,500
Are you able... To tell you I think.
1027
00:33:00,290 --> 00:33:01,290
Here we go, I think.
1028
00:33:01,910 --> 00:33:02,910
OK, good.
1029
00:33:02,930 --> 00:33:03,980
Good. Sorry about that. Go ahead,
1030
00:33:04,010 --> 00:33:05,010
Karen. You're now unmuted.
1031
00:33:16,280 --> 00:33:17,750
Are you able to hear me now?
1032
00:33:19,400 --> 00:33:20,959
Yes, I can hear you now.
1033
00:33:24,980 --> 00:33:26,254
So thank you for including
1034
00:33:26,960 --> 00:33:27,960
me.
1035
00:33:28,070 --> 00:33:29,930
I am basing this work
1036
00:33:29,960 --> 00:33:32,150
that I'm sharing today on research
1037
00:33:32,180 --> 00:33:33,650
that we did here in California

1038
00:33:34,460 --> 00:33:36,559
on clinical competency committees
1039
00:33:36,590 --> 00:33:38,569
at the time that they were rolling
1040
00:33:38,570 --> 00:33:40,669
out in the United States
1041
00:33:40,760 --> 00:33:42,979
as a requirement for
1042
00:33:43,160 --> 00:33:44,989 milestone's assessment for

1043
00:33:44,990 --> 00:33:45,990 Residents..

1044
00:33:46,160 --> 00:33:47,299
Based on that
1045
00:33:48,050 --> 00:33:49,275
project, we also reviewed
1046
00:33:50,030 --> 00:33:51,799
the literature on group decision
1047
00:33:51,800 --> 00:33:53,074
making across professions,
1048
00:33:53,630 --> 00:33:56,479
looking at business, psychology,
1049
00:33:56,480 --> 00:33:58,190
sociology, literature
1050
00:33:58,430 --> 00:34:00,499
```

to inform our understanding
1051
00:34:00,500 --> 00:34:02,509
of how groups make decisions.
1052
00:34:02,750 --> 00:34:04,609
So today I will share with you
1053
00:34:04,640 --> 00:34:06,770
some of the highlights
1054
00:34:06,830 --> 00:34:08,202
around group decision making
1055
00:34:08,630 --> 00:34:10,939
processes and how to value
1056
00:34:11,179 --> 00:34:12,551
and maximize the information
1057
00:34:13,429 --> 00:34:15,046
from the different members in the
1058
00:34:15,170 --> 00:34:16,170
group.
1059
00:34:20,590 --> 00:34:22,449
I don't think I'm able to advance
1060
00:34:22,480 --> 00:34:23,319
the slides.
1061
00:34:23,320 --> 00:34:25,719
Do I have that capability?
1062
00:34:27,580 --> 00:34:29,709
So the purpose of a group

```

1063
00:34:29,710 --> 00:34:31,599
such as a competence committee
1064
00:34:31,630 --> 00:34:33,247
is that people will come together
1065
00:34:33,699 --> 00:34:34,924
and interact to influence
1066
00:34:35,620 --> 00:34:37,839
each other through information
1067
00:34:37,840 --> 00:34:38,678
sharing.
1068
00:34:38,679 --> 00:34:40,749
So the goal here is that
1069
00:34:41,290 --> 00:34:43,329
the collective will make a better
1070
00:34:43,330 --> 00:34:45,609
decision than any individual
1071
00:34:45,610 --> 00:34:47,199
would have made alone.
1072
00:34:47,530 --> 00:34:49,449
So the outcome is not just
1073
00:34:49,510 --> 00:34:51,479
a tally of what everyone thought
1074
00:34:51,489 --> 00:34:53,769
walking into the room, but rather
1075
00:34:53,800 --> 00:34:55,074
the outcome represents the
1076
00:34:55,780 --> 00:34:57,760
interactions during the meeting
1077
00:34:57,790 --> 00:34:59,739
that somehow raise or
1078
00:34:59,740 --> 00:35:01,359
change. Understanding
1079
00:35:02,290 --> 00:35:03,907
group decision making can achieve
1080
00:35:04,330 --> 00:35:05,330 consensus.

1081
00:35:05,530 --> 00:35:07,329
But it is important in your group to
1082
00:35:07,330 --> 00:35:09,459
know if you are requiring
1083
00:35:09,490 --> 00:35:11,949
a majority or a full consensus
1084
00:35:11,980 --> 00:35:12,980
in order to label
1085
00:35:13,870 --> 00:35:15,789
something as a decision and
1086
00:35:15,790 --> 00:35:16,790
move forward.
1087
00:35:18,170 --> 00:35:19,309
Next slide, please.

1088
00:35:23,030 --> 00:35:25,099
There are risks in group decision
1089
00:35:25,100 --> 00:35:25,909
making.
1090
00:35:25,910 --> 00:35:27,331
This slide illustrates social
1091
00:35:28,250 --> 00:35:29,720
pressure and the phenomenon of
1092
00:35:30,170 --> 00:35:31,170 group think.

1093
00:35:31,370 --> 00:35:33,199
As you can see here, the
1094
00:35:33,230 --> 00:35:35,179
leader standing up says all
1095
00:35:35,180 --> 00:35:37,039
those in favor say I
1096
00:35:37,370 --> 00:35:39,440
and everyone in the room says \(I\).
1097
00:35:39,470 --> 00:35:40,646
And we see this is a non
1098
00:35:41,480 --> 00:35:43,619
diverse group of all white men.
1099
00:35:44,060 --> 00:35:45,824
And inside each of these individuals
1100
00:35:46,610 --> 00:35:47,933
```

who is agreeing is actually
1101
00:35:48,800 --> 00:35:50,479
thinking to themselves, I don't
1102
00:35:50,480 --> 00:35:51,607
agree. Say it ain't so.
1103
00:35:51,860 --> 00:35:53,598
You've got to be kidding, etc...
1104
00:35:54,650 --> 00:35:56,071
This is a nice representation
1105
00:35:56,570 --> 00:35:57,610
of group think.
1106
00:35:58,040 --> 00:36:01,010
This means that a group prioritizes
1107
00:36:01,220 --> 00:36:03,199
harmony and seeming
1108
00:36:03,200 --> 00:36:05,269
to get along rather than
1109
00:36:05,300 --> 00:36:07,510
truly tackling tough problems
1110
00:36:07,520 --> 00:36:09,199
where there may be disagreement.
1 1 1 1
00:36:09,230 --> 00:36:11,179
And the requirement for sharing of
1112
00:36:11,180 --> 00:36:12,499
different opinions.

```

1113
00:36:12,980 --> 00:36:15,289
Group think is also commonly
1114
00:36:15,290 --> 00:36:17,179
characterized by group
1115
00:36:17,180 --> 00:36:18,949
members deferring to

1116
00:36:19,010 --> 00:36:19,969
a group leader.

1117
00:36:19,970 --> 00:36:22,639
Typically a more powerful individual
1118
00:36:22,670 --> 00:36:24,349
within the organization.
1119
00:36:24,950 --> 00:36:26,665
The goal with a clinical competence
1120
00:36:26,780 - -> 00:36:28,729
committee is to avoid people

1121
00:36:28,730 --> 00:36:29,906
responding simply out of

1122
00:36:30,650 --> 00:36:32,570
pressure, to seem to agree

1123
00:36:32,600 --> 00:36:33,629
and rather to uncover

1124
00:36:34,820 --> 00:36:36,739
that problematic evidence we just
1125
00:36:36,740 --> 00:36:39,199
heard about and hear the negotiation
1126
00:36:39,230 --> 00:36:40,610
among group members.
1127
00:36:41,690 --> 00:36:42,754
Next slide, please?
1128
00:36:46,630 --> 00:36:48,820
Group members or teams
1129
00:36:48,880 --> 00:36:50,649
can be thought of using this
1130
00:36:50,740 --> 00:36:51,740
input-process-output
1131
00:36:52,570 --> 00:36:53,349 model.

1132
00:36:53,350 --> 00:36:55,629
The inputs into a committee's
1133
00:36:55,750 --> 00:36:57,760
functioning are the
1134
00:36:57,790 --> 00:37:00,010
individual members themselves,
1135
00:37:00,070 --> 00:37:02,709
team factors and organizational
1136
00:37:02,710 --> 00:37:03,710
and constructural
1137
00:37:04,900 --> 00:37:05,900 factors.

1138
00:37:06,190 --> 00:37:07,758
For example, who are the members
1139
00:37:08,500 --> 00:37:09,609
who were selected?
1140
00:37:09,940 --> 00:37:11,980 What is the size of the team?

1141
00:37:12,010 --> 00:37:13,630
How often do they meet?
1142
00:37:14,410 --> 00:37:15,635
What are the rules around
1143
00:37:16,270 --> 00:37:17,410 how they interact?

1144
00:37:18,460 --> 00:37:19,538
The process is the key
1145
00:37:20,320 --> 00:37:21,999
that we are all interested in on
1146
00:37:22,000 --> 00:37:23,000
this webinar.
1147
00:37:23,200 --> 00:37:25,449
How do inputs churn
1148
00:37:25,510 --> 00:37:27,849
or negotiate to become
1149
00:37:27,940 --> 00:37:28,940 outputs?

1150
00:37:29,230 --> 00:37:30,841

And then the outputs of a competence
1151
00:37:30,871 --> 00:37:32,949
committee, of course, are
1152
00:37:32,950 --> 00:37:34,733
decisions about residents,
1153
00:37:34,960 --> 00:37:36,332
achievement of competence or
1154
00:37:36,610 --> 00:37:38,500
advancement through the program.
1155
00:37:38,800 --> 00:37:40,719
But other outputs that you may want
1156
00:37:40,720 --> 00:37:42,579
to look at in your own program would
1157
00:37:42,580 --> 00:37:44,709
be things like committee member
1158
00:37:44,710 --> 00:37:46,869
satisfaction, residents.
1159
00:37:46,870 --> 00:37:48,790
satisfaction and
1160
00:37:48,850 --> 00:37:50,949
ideally some balance of team
1161
00:37:50,950 --> 00:37:53,019 cohesiveness and feelings

1162
00:37:53,050 --> 00:37:55,000
among members that they're able to

1163
00:37:55,300 --> 00:37:56,672
meaningfully contribute even
1164
00:37:57,190 --> 00:37:58,329
if they're bringing something
1165
00:37:58,330 --> 00:38:00,279
different than the majority to
1166
00:38:00,280 --> 00:38:01,280
the table.
1167
00:38:01,750 --> 00:38:02,829
Next slide, please?
1168
00:38:06,430 --> 00:38:07,998
So how do you maximize the value
1169
00:38:08,590 --> 00:38:09,590
of an individual
1170
00:38:10,570 --> 00:38:12,089
group members in the competence
1171
00:38:12,190 --> 00:38:13,989
committee? You really want to move
1172
00:38:13,990 --> 00:38:15,362
away from the academic model
1173
00:38:16,000 --> 00:38:17,619
of many committees in which you
1174
00:38:17,620 --> 00:38:19,089
bring a whole lot of people to the
1175
00:38:19,090 --> 00:38:20,769
```

room and they're mostly just sitting
1176
00:38:20,770 --> 00:38:22,299
there so that you can say that we
1177
00:38:22,300 --> 00:38:23,979
represented all these different
1178
00:38:23,980 --> 00:38:26,199
constituencies in the meeting,
1179
00:38:26,350 --> 00:38:27,489
even if they weren't really
1180
00:38:27,490 --> 00:38:28,490
participating.
1181
00:38:29,020 --> 00:38:30,309
You want each of your committee
1182
00:38:30,310 --> 00:38:32,289
members to have full understanding
1183
00:38:32,290 --> 00:38:33,429
of the group's work.
1184
00:38:33,760 --> 00:38:35,949
This is referred to as a shared
1185
00:38:35,950 --> 00:38:37,899
mental model where people
1186
00:38:37,960 --> 00:38:39,789
on the committee have a common
1187
00:38:39,790 --> 00:38:41,739
understanding of what

```

1188
00:38:41,740 --> 00:38:43,357
is their purpose and how are they
1189
00:38:43,420 --> 00:38:44,547
going to go about their
1190
00:38:45,400 --> 00:38:46,329
work.
1191
00:38:46,330 --> 00:38:47,739
This would be different than
1192
00:38:47,740 --> 00:38:49,989
bringing in a lot of specialized
1193
00:38:49,990 --> 00:38:51,939
individuals into the committee,
1194
00:38:51,940 --> 00:38:53,439
like someone representing
1195
00:38:53,530 --> 00:38:55,630
ambulatory, someone representing
1196
00:38:55,690 --> 00:38:57,459
inpatients, someone representing
1197
00:38:57,460 --> 00:38:58,460
subspecialty, and
1198
00:38:59,290 --> 00:39:01,389
those individuals sticking firmly
1199
00:39:01,390 --> 00:39:03,219
to their roots or sticking
1200
00:39:03,220 --> 00:39:04,929
firmly to where they come from
1201
00:39:05,200 --> 00:39:06,999
rather than viewing themselves as
1202
00:39:07,000 --> 00:39:08,649
part of this collective group
1203
00:39:08,650 --> 00:39:09,650
process.
1204
00:39:10,660 --> 00:39:12,820
Strategies that have been mentioned
1205
00:39:12,880 --> 00:39:14,289
earlier in the webinar are
1206
00:39:14,290 --> 00:39:15,939
recommended to help people
1207
00:39:15,940 --> 00:39:17,859
participate more actively
1208
00:39:18,160 --> 00:39:20,079
if they engage in advanced
1209
00:39:20,080 --> 00:39:21,009
data review.
1210
00:39:21,010 --> 00:39:22,659
They come to the meeting with
1211
00:39:22,840 --> 00:39:24,699
knowledge to share with the group.
1212
00:39:25,180 --> 00:39:26,829
You want to achieve robust

1213
00:39:26,830 --> 00:39:28,251
information sharing, which is
1214
00:39:28,540 --> 00:39:30,729
challenging given time constraints.
1215
00:39:30,940 --> 00:39:32,704
But the more information you get out
1216
00:39:32,770 --> 00:39:34,869
there, the more you can have people
1217
00:39:35,020 --> 00:39:37,150
speaking from the same perspective
1218
00:39:37,180 --> 00:39:38,180
or the same baseline understanding.
1219
00:39:40,420 --> 00:39:42,039
A good measure of a successful
1220
00:39:42,040 --> 00:39:43,209
meeting is that everyone
1221
00:39:43,210 --> 00:39:45,669
participated, perhaps not equally,
1222
00:39:45,940 --> 00:39:47,769
and that they all feel trust
1223
00:39:47,770 --> 00:39:49,570
in the process and that their
1224
00:39:49,810 --> 00:39:51,849
contributions were valued.
1225
00:39:52,870 --> 00:39:53,956
```

Next slide, please?
1226
00:39:56,780 --> 00:39:58,969
Social decision schemes
1227
00:39:59,360 --> 00:40:01,579
come from theory of how groups
1228
00:40:01,610 --> 00:40:02,610
do their work.
1229
00:40:02,930 --> 00:40:04,909
Social decision schemes
1230
00:40:04,970 --> 00:40:06,830
are the rules or procedures
1231
00:40:06,860 --> 00:40:09,320
that groups use to make a decision.
1232
00:40:09,620 --> 00:40:11,420
And these can be explicit,
1233
00:40:11,450 --> 00:40:13,279
meaning we've all talked about them
1234
00:40:13,310 --> 00:40:14,539
and agreed upon them.
1235
00:40:14,810 --> 00:40:16,969
Or they can be implicit, meaning
1236
00:40:17,000 --> 00:40:18,470
I figured out by being on this
1237
00:40:18,650 --> 00:40:20,659
committee that it's better

```

1238
00:40:20,660 --> 00:40:22,340
if \(I\) just don't say anything at all
1239
00:40:22,400 --> 00:40:24,619
or that the committee always defers
1240
00:40:24,620 --> 00:40:26,090
to this kind of information or
1241
00:40:26,300 --> 00:40:27,769
person and not that.
1242
00:40:29,000 --> 00:40:30,421
A good social decision scheme
1243
00:40:31,010 --> 00:40:33,230
will make more of those rules overt
1244
00:40:33,470 --> 00:40:35,329
and will also draw out more
1245
00:40:35,330 --> 00:40:36,330
information.
1246
00:40:36,920 --> 00:40:39,199
Groups tend to like to rely
1247
00:40:39,200 --> 00:40:41,089
on shared information, meaning
1248
00:40:41,090 --> 00:40:42,854
something that they all knew walking
1249
00:40:42,980 --> 00:40:43,980
in the room.
1250
00:40:44,000 --> 00:40:46,099

So in order to enhance
1251
00:40:46,100 --> 00:40:47,815
their decision making capacity, you
1252
00:40:47,840 --> 00:40:49,939
want to draw out unshared
1253
00:40:49,940 --> 00:40:51,165
information only known by
1254
00:40:51,830 --> 00:40:53,600
a minority of people in the room
1255
00:40:53,870 --> 00:40:55,789
so that that can become shared
1256
00:40:55,790 --> 00:40:56,790 information.

1257
00:40:57,830 --> 00:40:59,153
Common ways that groups use
1258
00:40:59,690 --> 00:41:00,915
poor or suboptimal social
1259
00:41:01,670 --> 00:41:03,409
decisions schemes are
1260
00:41:03,920 --> 00:41:05,572
relying on unstated rules, so
1261
00:41:06,110 --> 00:41:07,489
new members are just trying to
1262
00:41:07,490 --> 00:41:09,499
figure out the processes without
```

1263
00:41:09,530 --> 00:41:10,789
anyone telling them,
1264
00:41:11,780 --> 00:41:13,944
relying on the obvious information
1265
00:41:14,060 --> 00:41:15,919
without drawing out additional
1266
00:41:15,920 --> 00:41:17,979
information and
1267
00:41:18,020 --> 00:41:19,880
relying on initial opinions.
1268
00:41:19,910 --> 00:41:21,709
I'm sure you've all seen committees
1269
00:41:21,710 --> 00:41:23,299
where the first thing that's stated
1270
00:41:23,300 --> 00:41:25,309
drives the rest of the conversation
1271
00:41:25,310 --> 00:41:27,109
rather than bringing out
1272
00:41:27,440 --> 00:41:29,389
more information and
1273
00:41:29,390 --> 00:41:31,429
then deferring to powerful
1274
00:41:31,430 --> 00:41:32,870
members of the group.
1275
00:41:33,590 --> 00:41:34,840

```
```

Next slide, please?
1276
00:41:37,450 --> 00:41:39,399
So what are risk factors for
1277
00:41:39,430 --> 00:41:41,679
failing to share information
1278
00:41:41,680 --> 00:41:42,680
in the meeting?
1279
00:41:42,880 --> 00:41:44,889
The big one that we all grapple with
1280
00:41:44,890 --> 00:41:45,890
is time, pressure.
1281
00:41:46,030 --> 00:41:47,769
And the comments you heard earlier
1282
00:41:47,770 --> 00:41:49,044
about advanced information
1283
00:41:49,630 --> 00:41:51,429
review and synthesized
1284
00:41:51,490 --> 00:41:53,409
structured presentations can
1285
00:41:53,410 --> 00:41:54,410
help with that.
1286
00:41:55,100 --> 00:41:56,668
A second risk is a very dominant
1 2 8 7
00:41:56,920 --> 00:41:58,809
leader who reduces

```

1288
00:41:58,810 --> 00:42:00,329
the psychological safety in the
1289
00:42:00,520 --> 00:42:02,235
group and leads people to feel that
1290
00:42:02,350 --> 00:42:03,909
really the decisions have already
1291
00:42:03,910 --> 00:42:04,910 been made.

1292
00:42:05,650 --> 00:42:06,973
Psychological safety in any
1293
00:42:07,780 --> 00:42:09,299
work environment means that all
1294
00:42:09,520 --> 00:42:11,469
members feel safe to speak
1295
00:42:11,530 --> 00:42:13,149
up and they know that they won't be
1296
00:42:13,150 --> 00:42:15,039
made to feel embarrassed
1297
00:42:15,070 --> 00:42:16,491
or punished for sharing their
1298
00:42:16,510 --> 00:42:17,510
opinion.
1299
00:42:17,640 --> 00:42:18,640
Other risk factors
1300
00:42:19,570 --> 00:42:20,697
```

for reducing the amount
1301
00:42:21,580 --> 00:42:23,259
of information sharing in a meeting
1302
00:42:23,260 --> 00:42:25,179
are a very homogeneous group,
1303
00:42:25,210 --> 00:42:27,280
which then implicitly believes that
1304
00:42:27,850 --> 00:42:29,889
everyone agrees so we must be right.
1305
00:42:30,730 --> 00:42:32,200
That is particularly a risk if
1306
00:42:32,560 --> 00:42:34,260
you don't rotate new members in.
1307
00:42:34,300 --> 00:42:35,949
If the group's been together a long
1308
00:42:35,950 --> 00:42:38,050
time and become quite cohesive,
1309
00:42:38,080 --> 00:42:39,940
that's a setup for group think.
1310
00:42:41,080 --> 00:42:42,909
Other risks for poor information
1311
00:42:42,910 --> 00:42:44,979
sharing are if there is a favored
1312
00:42:44,980 --> 00:42:46,597
decision or just any need to make

```

1313
00:42:47,050 --> 00:42:48,909
a decision leading to the
1314
00:42:48,910 --> 00:42:49,910 default incompetence committees

1315
00:42:50,800 --> 00:42:52,270
that will say, well, let's just pass
1316
00:42:52,280 --> 00:42:54,190
everyone. I'm sure they're all fine.
1317
00:42:55,330 --> 00:42:56,500
Next slide, please?
1318
00:42:58,630 --> 00:43:00,921
So how do we ensure competence
1319
00:43:01,030 --> 00:43:02,949
committee member participation?
1320
00:43:03,310 --> 00:43:04,929
One consideration on a very
1321
00:43:04,930 --> 00:43:06,400
practical level is to consider
1322
00:43:06,820 --> 00:43:08,349
the order of speakers.
1323
00:43:08,650 --> 00:43:09,973
One ideal approach would be
1324
00:43:10,780 --> 00:43:12,909
that an expert member introduce
1325
00:43:12,940 --> 00:43:15,039
```

whatever we're going to talk about.
1326
00:43:15,310 --> 00:43:17,019
And by expert, I don't mean the most
1327
00:43:17,020 --> 00:43:19,179
senior or the leader, but rather
1328
00:43:19,180 --> 00:43:20,326
someone who's been pre-appointed
1329
00:43:21,100 --> 00:43:22,989
to introduce discussion of
1330
00:43:22,990 --> 00:43:25,070
a particular resident or topic.
1 3 3 1
00:43:25,120 --> 00:43:26,492
So someone who has done that
1332
00:43:26,950 --> 00:43:29,050
pre-review and has come prepared.
1333
00:43:29,770 --> 00:43:31,599
Next. Open it up early
1334
00:43:31,600 --> 00:43:33,639
on to more junior
1335
00:43:33,640 --> 00:43:35,469
individuals so that they feel
1336
00:43:35,500 --> 00:43:37,068
more comfortable speaking up and
1337
00:43:37,360 --> 00:43:38,770
sharing information.

```

1338
00:43:39,130 --> 00:43:40,796
Those may be people who are junior
1339
00:43:41,050 --> 00:43:42,879
in rank or who are newer to the
1340
00:43:42,880 --> 00:43:43,880
committee.
1341
00:43:44,350 --> 00:43:45,869
You'd like to hear as well from
1342
00:43:46,060 --> 00:43:47,889
people with direct knowledge who are
1343
00:43:47,890 --> 00:43:50,110
sharing more factual information
1344
00:43:50,410 --> 00:43:51,969
and can elaborate what's already
1345
00:43:51,970 --> 00:43:53,709
been presented before.
1346
00:43:53,710 --> 00:43:55,719
The rest of the group interprets
1347
00:43:55,720 --> 00:43:56,720
what they've heard.
1348
00:43:57,640 --> 00:43:59,379
Later in the discussion of a
1349
00:43:59,380 --> 00:44:00,999 particular resident, you would hear

1350
00:44:01,000 --> 00:44:02,617
from people who are less familiar
1351
00:44:02,920 --> 00:44:03,949
and doing more of the
1352
00:44:04,840 --> 00:44:06,520
on the fly interpretive work
1353
00:44:06,820 --> 00:44:09,159
and more senior members, including
1354
00:44:09,220 --> 00:44:10,220
the chair.
1355
00:44:10,990 --> 00:44:12,054
Next slide, please?
1356
00:44:14,780 --> 00:44:16,579
The role of the group leader is
1357
00:44:16,580 --> 00:44:18,344
critical in achieving the statements
1358
00:44:18,920 --> 00:44:20,750
that I made in the last slide.
1359
00:44:21,020 --> 00:44:22,489
The group leader creates
1360
00:44:22,580 --> 00:44:24,859
psychological safety by
1361
00:44:25,160 --> 00:44:27,109
listening with curiosity
1362
00:44:27,110 --> 00:44:28,909
rather than making it feel like

1363
00:44:28,970 --> 00:44:30,830
a decision already exists.
1364
00:44:31,190 --> 00:44:32,190
And by welcoming new
1365
00:44:33,080 --> 00:44:34,969
or different opinions, even if it
1366
00:44:34,970 --> 00:44:36,889
leads to needing to adjust the
1367
00:44:36,890 --> 00:44:38,599 time spent on that residents.

1368
00:44:39,500 --> 00:44:40,909
The group leader also should
1369
00:44:40,910 --> 00:44:42,380
structure group discussions if
1370
00:44:42,650 --> 00:44:44,316
information flows in a predictable
1371
00:44:45,020 --> 00:44:46,610
way. First, we'll hear data.
1372
00:44:46,640 --> 00:44:47,690
Then we'll interpret.
1373
00:44:47,730 --> 00:44:49,369
Then we'll hear from these people.
1374
00:44:49,730 --> 00:44:51,347
It reduces the cognitive load for
1375
00:44:51,650 --> 00:44:53,590
the members to take it all in.
1376
00:44:54,380 --> 00:44:56,540
Intentionally ordering the speakers
1377
00:44:56,570 --> 00:44:58,236
and inviting people to share their
1378
00:44:58,430 --> 00:45:00,379
knowledge and elaborate is very
1379
00:45:00,380 --> 00:45:01,380
helpful.
1380
00:45:01,430 --> 00:45:02,569
Does anyone else have that
1381
00:45:02,570 --> 00:45:04,189
experience? Does anyone have a
1382
00:45:04,190 --> 00:45:05,089
different take?
1383
00:45:05,090 --> 00:45:06,500
What do you mean by that?
1384
00:45:07,380 --> 00:45:09,169
And I really like the recommendation
1385
00:45:09,170 --> 00:45:10,170
to aim for a true
1386
00:45:11,030 --> 00:45:12,353
interactive dialogue rather
1387
00:45:13,190 --> 00:45:14,959
than serial monologues.

1388
00:45:15,950 --> 00:45:18,110
It's important for a leader to avoid
1389
00:45:18,380 --> 00:45:19,752
having or seeming to have an
1390
00:45:20,330 --> 00:45:22,219
inner circle, like I always listen
1391
00:45:22,220 --> 00:45:24,139
to these trusted people and the rest
1392
00:45:24,140 --> 00:45:25,669
of the group. I may or may not
1393
00:45:25,670 --> 00:45:26,670
listen to.
1394
00:45:27,440 --> 00:45:29,329
A good leader will speak late and
1395
00:45:29,330 --> 00:45:31,429
allow the conversation to unfold
1396
00:45:31,430 --> 00:45:32,753
without there needing to be
1397
00:45:33,260 --> 00:45:34,485
the one driving the whole
1398
00:45:34,790 --> 00:45:35,960
conversation.
1399
00:45:36,890 --> 00:45:38,810
And then, as has been mentioned,
1400
00:45:38,840 --> 00:45:40,999
```

thinking carefully about strategies

```

1401
00:45:41,000 --> 00:45:43,249
to minimize the true effects
1402
00:45:43,250 --> 00:45:44,671
of time pressure through pre-
1403
00:45:45,020 --> 00:45:47,449
review, perhaps subcommittees
1404
00:45:47,480 --> 00:45:49,909
and well synthesized information
1405
00:45:49,910 --> 00:45:51,500
and data presentation
1406
00:45:51,770 --> 00:45:53,539
are also definitely helpful.
1407
00:45:54,620 --> 00:45:56,237
So with that, I will conclude and
1408
00:45:56,600 --> 00:45:58,849
I look forward to the Q\&A session.
1409
00:45:59,000 --> 00:46:00,000
Thank you.
1410
00:46:03,100 --> 00:46:04,480
That's great! Thanks so much, Karen.
1411
00:46:05,440 --> 00:46:06,399
I'm going to see if I can get my
1412
00:46:06,400 --> 00:46:07,719
video to pop up here.

1413
00:46:08,500 --> 00:46:10,449
We will see how I'm doing as far
1414
00:46:10,450 --> 00:46:11,450
as connection.
1415
00:46:12,430 --> 00:46:13,869
If you can't see my video, then I
1416
00:46:13,870 --> 00:46:15,069
apologize. But again, this is
1417
00:46:15,070 --> 00:46:15,939
Andrew.
1418
00:46:15,940 --> 00:46:17,459
So at this time, what we'd like
1419
00:46:17,770 --> 00:46:18,770
to do is move
1420
00:46:19,630 --> 00:46:20,739
through period time where we can
1421
00:46:20,980 --> 00:46:21,980
have individuals
1422
00:46:22,840 --> 00:46:24,101
in the audience and I'm excited this
1423
00:46:24,102 --> 00:46:26,050
is 120 of you, which is great.
1424
00:46:27,760 --> 00:46:29,139
Ask questions for
1425
00:46:29,650 --> 00:46:30,789
any of our three presenters.
1426
00:46:31,420 --> 00:46:33,100
To do this, what we'd ask you to do 1427
00:46:33,220 --> 00:46:34,149
is to raise your hand up using the
1428
00:46:34,150 --> 00:46:35,269
hand up function and we can unmute
1429
00:46:35,270 --> 00:46:36,429
you at that time.
1430
00:46:37,080 --> 00:46:38,439
We can also write questions in the
1431
00:46:38,440 --> 00:46:39,309
question box.
1432
00:46:39,310 --> 00:46:40,780
And Alex
1433
00:46:41,680 --> 00:46:43,199
from the College will highlight
1434
00:46:43,810 --> 00:46:45,370
those for us as we move forward.
1435
00:46:45,850 --> 00:46:47,516
So we're can try this, I hope that
1436
00:46:47,710 --> 00:46:49,360
this this works and
1437
00:46:49,930 --> 00:46:50,859
we'll have a period of time for

1438
00:46:50,860 --> 00:46:52,329
questions now. So feel free to
1439
00:46:52,330 --> 00:46:53,330
start.
1440
00:46:56,960 --> 00:46:58,039
Andrew, I noticed that there's a question

1441
00:46:58,040 --> 00:46:59,570
in the box for Anita here.
1442
00:46:59,600 --> 00:47:00,439 Would you like me to read it?

1443
00:47:00,440 --> 00:47:01,730 Oh, please do.

1444
00:47:01,760 --> 00:47:02,760
Yeah, it's great.
1445
00:47:03,570 --> 00:47:05,659
OK. So this
1446
00:47:05,780 --> 00:47:07,579
is actually
1447
00:47:07,640 --> 00:47:08,640
from Abshta Kaak and
1448
00:47:10,040 --> 00:47:11,629
I notice Abshita you have your hand
1449
00:47:11,630 --> 00:47:12,709
out. Hand up.
1450
```

00:47:12,710 --> 00:47:13,710
Sorry.
1451
00:47:13,760 --> 00:47:15,169
If you'd like. You may ask the
1452
00:47:15,170 --> 00:47:17,360
question yourself by un meeting
1453
00:47:17,720 --> 00:47:19,249
or I can read out your question in
1454
00:47:19,250 --> 00:47:20,119
your box.
1455
00:47:20,120 --> 00:47:21,260
I'll just give you a moment.
1456
00:47:21,830 --> 00:47:22,830
Go ahead.
1457
00:47:25,340 --> 00:47:26,340
Hi.
1458
00:47:26,720 --> 00:47:27,720
Can you hear me.
1459
00:47:28,680 --> 00:47:29,729
Yes. Yes.
1460
00:47:30,300 --> 00:47:31,229
OK, perfect.
1461
00:47:31,230 --> 00:47:32,159
OK.
1462
00:47:32,160 --> 00:47:33,900
Thanks for all of those

```

1463
00:47:34,050 --> 00:47:35,159
presentations. I think they were
1464
00:47:35,160 --> 00:47:36,160
excellent.
1465
00:47:36,450 --> 00:47:37,450
So, Anita,
1466
00:47:38,400 --> 00:47:39,520
I just had a question for you.
1467
00:47:39,540 --> 00:47:41,070
So I was wondering from your
1468
00:47:41,610 --> 00:47:42,900 observational data,

1469
00:47:43,530 --> 00:47:45,060
were there any common themes
1470
00:47:45,600 --> 00:47:47,489
from competence committees that
1471
00:47:47,490 --> 00:47:49,379
worked well compared to those
1472
00:47:49,380 --> 00:47:50,556
that seemed more chaotic
1473
00:47:51,570 --> 00:47:52,650
or maybe disorganized?
1474
00:47:54,670 --> 00:47:56,519
Yeah, we haven't gone into the
1475
```

00:47:56,520 --> 00:47:58,349
observational data in full
1476
00:47:58,410 --> 00:47:59,929
detail yet. But there were a couple
1477
00:47:59,930 --> 00:48:01,439
of things that jump out to me.
1478
00:48:01,490 --> 00:48:03,359
So I think Karen's point
1479
00:48:03,360 --> 00:48:04,800
about the group leader is
1480
00:48:05,280 --> 00:48:06,389
really important because the
1481
00:48:06,390 --> 00:48:07,544
committees that had
1482
00:48:08,210 --> 00:48:09,876
more experienced group leaders who
1483
00:48:10,350 --> 00:48:12,000
had a really good understanding of
1484
00:48:12,510 --> 00:48:13,589
what the competence committee was
1485
00:48:13,590 --> 00:48:14,999
supposed to do and also really
1486
00:48:15,000 --> 00:48:16,856
strong facilitation skills,
1487
00:48:17,910 --> 00:48:20,159
seemed to do a bit better

```

1488
00:48:20,510 --> 00:48:21,540
from my observation.
1489
00:48:21,600 --> 00:48:21,840
So the.```

