# Assessment Tool 3 – Quality Improvement Project

CanMEDS Leader

## **Leader Role quality improvement project**

The unmodified content below was created for the CanMEDS Teaching and Assessment Tools Guide by S Glover Takahashi, M Chan, D Dath and B Wong and is owned by the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada. You may use, reproduce and modify the content for your own non-commercial purposes provided that your modifications are clearly indicated and you provide attribution to the Royal College. The Royal College may revoke this permission at any time by providing written notice.

**NOTICE: The content below may have been modified from its original form and may not represent the opinion or views of the Royal College.**

Prepare a six to eight page summary report describing your quality improvement project.

Consider the following points:

* Describe your clinical experience, including details about the clinical context and type(s) of service.
* Reflect on the use of the QI core concepts, principles and QI methodology.
* Consider the following structure to organize your QI project report:

1. Background and project rationale
2. Aim statement
3. Process analysis and changes tested
4. Improvement results (if available)
5. Lessons learned
6. Next steps

Please return this form in a confidential manner to: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Learner’s Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Postgraduate year (PGY):\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Place a check mark in your answer for each item.

**Indicate all that apply.** I am a:

🞎 Health professional team member (including co-resident)

🞎 Resident supervisor

🞎 Faculty

🞎 Other, please describe

**Degree of Interaction**

🞎 I had considerable interaction with this learner.

🞎 I had occasional interaction with this learner.

### Deadlines

* A 1-page (i.e. 250-word) abstract is due by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(i.e. one month before the final deadline)

* The paper is due via email by/before\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

### QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT: SAMPLE ASSESSMENT FORM[[1]](#endnote-1)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. MEDICAL EXPERT | 1  Unsatisfactory | 2  Needs  Improvement | 3  Meets expectations | 4  Exceeds expectations | 5  Outstanding | Not  able to  comment |
| Demonstrates knowledge of basic QI principles (i.e. six aims of quality) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distinguish between measurement for QI as compared to evaluative research |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identifies important quality gaps in their clinical environment as opportunities for improvement |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. COLLABORATOR | | | | | | |
| Contributes meaningfully to QI project and fulfills duties responsibly |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collaborates effectively with other members of QI team and faculty |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. LEADER | 1  Unsatisfactory | 2  Needs  Improvement | 3  Meets expectations | 4  Exceeds expectations | 5  Outstanding | Not  able to  comment |
| Engages relevant stakeholders effectively and appropriately |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employs a systems-based approach to address QI and patient safety issues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demonstrates commitment to improving health care quality and patient safety |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applies QI tools (i.e. Fishbone, process mapping, PDSA cycles) appropriately to identify gaps in patient care and develop possible solutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. SCHOLAR | | | | | | |
| Critically appraises relevant medical and QI literature |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uses appropriate methods for data collection and analysis (e.g. gap analysis, run or control charts) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearly and effectively presents the QI project in oral and/or written format (including mid-year and final project presentations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recognizes and addresses research ethics issues appropriately |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1  Unsatisfactory | 2  Needs  Improvement | 3  Meets expectations | 4  Exceeds expectations | 5  Outstanding | Not  able to  comment |
| Overall Rating |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Indicate two or three areas of strength

Indicate two or three areas for improvement

Assessment date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Completion by: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Quality Improvement In-training Evaluation Report developed by: Alexander Lo, Rory McQuillan, Kieran McIntyre, Lisa Hicks, Jerome Leis, Geetha Mukerji, Adam Weizman, Jeannette Goguen, Brian Wong. University of Toronto Co-Learning Curriculum in Quality Improvement, 2015. Reproduced with permission. [↑](#endnote-ref-1)